



# Journal of Hunan University (Natural Sciences)

Vol. 53 No. 1

January 2026

Available online at

<https://joununs.com>



ELSEVIER  
Scopus



Clarivate  
WEB OF SCIENCE

Open Access Article

 <https://doi.org/10.55463/issn.1674-2974.53.1.13>

## Examining Work Patterns And Interaction Patterns As Dimensions Of Socio-Economic Transformation: A Literature Study

Yoseb Boari<sup>\*1</sup>, Ni Nyoman Yuliarmi<sup>2</sup>, Putu Ayu Pramitha Purwanti<sup>3</sup>, Ni Made Tisnawati<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Student of Economic Doctoral Study Program, Faculty of Economic and Business,  
Udayana University, Indonesia,

<sup>2,3,4</sup> Economic Doctoral Study Program, Faculty of Economic and Business, Udayana University, Indonesia,

\* Corresponding author: [yobo.uogp@gmail.com](mailto:yobo.uogp@gmail.com)

### Article History:

Received: December 5, 2025

Revised: January 16, 2026

Accepted: February 17, 2026

Published: February 27, 2026

**Abstract** Socio-economic transformation is driven by changes in work structures, cultural norms, and patterns of social interaction. However, existing studies have largely examined these dimensions in isolation, leaving a conceptual gap in understanding how they jointly shape transformative processes within communities. This study aims to integrate work patterns and interaction patterns as interconnected dimensions of socio-economic transformation by means of a systematic literature review (SLR). The review draws on scholarly publications indexed in Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar, using keywords related to work patterns, social interaction, socio-economic transformation, labor migration, social networks, and Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK). A thematic synthesis approach was employed to identify recurring concepts and develop analytical linkages. The review reveals three key findings. First, work patterns are being reshaped by digitalization, platform labor, automation, and labor migration, which together transform job structures, employment stability, and work-related cultural values. Second, interaction patterns are being reconfigured by urbanization and digital connectivity, leading to hybrid forms of community, new modes of participation, and changing socio-spatial relations. Third, ILK plays a significant role in strengthening social resilience, supporting ecological stewardship, and shaping adaptive livelihood strategies, particularly in indigenous and rural communities. Migration functions both as a mechanism for risk diversification and as a catalyst for social reorganization, although it may also generate vulnerabilities where institutional protection is weak. The study concludes that socio-economic transformation is best understood as a co-evolving process in which work patterns and interaction patterns mutually reinforce one another across structural and



Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Licensee JHU

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License  
(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

cultural contexts. This integrated framework contributes to transformation research and offers practical insights for the design of community-based development policies that are socially inclusive and culturally grounded.

**Keywords:** Socio-economic transformation; Work patterns; Interaction patterns; Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK); Migration.

---

## 审视工作模式与互动模式作为社会经济转型维度：一项文献研究

**摘要：**社会经济转型由工作结构、文化规范以及社会互动模式的变化所推动。然而，现有研究大多将这些维度孤立地加以考察，从而在理解它们如何共同塑造社区转型过程方面留下了概念性空白。本研究通过系统性文献综述（SLR），将工作模式与互动模式整合为社会经济转型的相互关联维度。研究基于收录于 Scopus、Web of Science、JSTOR、ScienceDirect 和 Google Scholar 的学术文献，采用与工作模式、社会互动、社会经济转型、劳动力迁移、社会网络以及本土与地方知识（ILK）相关的关键词进行检索。研究采用主题综合方法，以识别重复出现的概念并构建分析性联系。综述结果揭示了三个关键发现。第一，工作模式正受到数字化、平台劳动、自动化以及劳动力迁移的共同影响，从而重塑工作结构、就业稳定性以及与工作相关的文化价值。第二，互动模式在城市化与数字连接的推动下正在被重新构建，形成混合型社区、新型参与方式以及不断变化的社会空间关系。第三，本土与地方知识（ILK）在增强社会韧性、支持生态治理以及塑造适应性生计策略方面发挥着重要作用，尤其体现在原住民和农村社区中。迁移既作为风险分散机制，也作为社会重组的催化因素，但在制度保障不足的情况下也可能带来新的脆弱性。研究认为，社会经济转型应被理解为一个协同演化过程，其中工作模式与互动模式在结构与文化情境中相互强化。该综合框架不仅为转型研究提供了理论贡献，也为制定具有社会包容性和文化根基的社区发展政策提供了实践启示。

**关键词：**社会经济转型；工作模式；互动模式；本土与地方知识（ILK）；迁移。

---

### 1. Introduction

The transformation of traditional communities in response to economic and social changes is evident across various contexts. Local communities worldwide are actively responding to environmental and socio-economic changes through various strategies [1]. In South Sulawesi, the *tesang* tradition exemplifies local economic practices that blend cultural values with Islamic teachings [2]. Traditional Muslim villages are evolving in terms of architecture and social structures while preserving core values [3]. Craft villages in Ho Chi Minh City face challenges due to rapid urbanization [4]. Changes in workplace characteristics are influencing social exchange relationships [5].

This collection of papers explores various aspects of social and economic transformation, particularly in rural

and indigenous communities. Several studies highlight the importance of integrating indigenous and local knowledge (ILK) into sustainability research and policy-making [6]. The papers also examine the impact of digital transformation on economic innovation and social systems [7], as well as the potential of smart city strategies for socio-ecological transformation [8]. Social integration mechanisms are identified as crucial factors in knowledge absorption and organizational development [9]. Additionally, the research addresses social relations and harmony between different religious groups [10], the implementation of work culture policies in government institutions [11], and the utilization of land rights for tourism development and community welfare [12]. These studies collectively emphasize the need for a more comprehensive understanding of social

and economic transformations in diverse contexts.

Recent studies highlight the multifaceted nature of social and economic transformations, driven by both external and internal factors. Digital transformation and economic innovation are reshaping industrial structures and productivity [7]. The development of halal value chains and green transformation in the EU demonstrate the interplay of economic, environmental, and social factors [13,14]. Indigenous and local knowledge contributes to understanding sustainability transformations [6].

This collection of papers examines various aspects of internal community dynamics, including changes in livelihood patterns, labor migration, and the strengthening of socio-economic networks. Several studies highlight the importance of social capital in shaping migration decisions and community forest management [15–17]. Labor migration, both internal and international, is identified as a key livelihood strategy in rural areas of developing countries like Kyrgyzstan and Indonesia [18,19]. The papers also explore the economic impacts of migration on local and national economies [20], as well as the role of cash waqf in supporting entrepreneurship and economic empowerment [21]. Additionally, the research examines the various drivers of international migration, including economic, environmental, social, and political factors [22], providing a comprehensive understanding of the complex dynamics shaping contemporary societies. However, approaches that examine work patterns and interaction patterns simultaneously in the socio-economic transformation dimension are still limited, especially in local and regional literature.

The purpose of this paper is to examine how work patterns and interaction patterns become an integral part of the socioeconomic transformation process through a comprehensive literature review. This literature review focuses on an interdisciplinary approach that combines theories from sociology, development economics, and social anthropology. Furthermore, this paper aims to identify relevant research gaps for further empirical study in the context of local communities.

This study explicitly aims to clarify how work patterns and interaction patterns function as interrelated dimensions of socio-economic transformation, addressing a gap in the literature where these domains are often examined separately.

The novelty of this study lies in its integrated conceptual approach that synthesizes insights from sociology, development economics, and social anthropology to build a unified framework explaining their interdependence. By developing this framework, the study provides a clearer theoretical foundation for understanding how structural, cultural, and relational changes co-evolve within communities.

The findings significantly contribute to current debates on socio-economic transformation by offering a

multidimensional perspective that has been largely absent in local and regional research. This contribution also offers practical implications for designing culturally grounded, community-based development policies.

## 2. Literature Review

Socio-economic transformation is understood as a dynamic process through which societies reorganize economic activities, social relations, cultural values, and institutional arrangements. This transformation is shaped by multi-level forces, including technological development, market integration, state interventions, and community-based responses. Within this broad process, work patterns and interaction patterns emerge as two interrelated dimensions that reflect how individuals and communities adapt to structural changes while negotiating social identity, agency, and collective meaning.

### 2.1. Work patterns within changing economic structures

The restructuring of work patterns has been significantly influenced by technological innovation, automation, platform-based labor, and labor mobility. The expansion of the digital economy has introduced flexible employment systems, such as gig work and remote work, which challenge traditional employment norms and organizational hierarchies. At the same time, migration both domestic and international functions as an adaptive livelihood strategy that enables households to redistribute risk and stabilize income. These developments demonstrate that work is not only an economic activity but also a cultural field, where values, ethics, and social expectations are produced and reproduced. In many contexts, work continues to be embedded in social networks, community reciprocity, and informal cooperation, suggesting that cultural norms and local institutions play a mediating role in shaping labor decisions and economic outcomes.

The transformation of work patterns in society is driven by technological advancements, migration, and economic structural changes. Artificial intelligence and automation are reshaping labor markets, potentially displacing workers and altering occupations [23]. The rise of the digital economy has led to new forms of employment, such as gig work and the platform economy [24]. Migration, both internal and international, serves as a financial strategy for households to reduce risk and increase income [19]. The social and solidarity economy (SSE) is emerging as a potential solution to address employment challenges and promote decent work [25]. Information communication technologies are influencing migration aspirations in remote communities [26]. These changes have resulted in the transformation of social and labor relations, necessitating new approaches to human resource management [27]. However, these shifts also contribute

to precarious work conditions in many Asian countries [28].

Recent research highlights the evolving nature of workplace relationships and their impact on organizational dynamics. Chernyak-Hai & Rabenu, (2018) argue that changes in workplace characteristics necessitate adjustments to traditional social exchange theory frameworks [5]. In local contexts, studies demonstrate how cultural traditions integrate with economic practices, as seen in the "tesang" tradition in South Sulawesi [2] and the Minangkabau diaspora's business practices [29]. Islamic work ethics and local wisdom significantly influence business sustainability and organizational performance [10]. The implementation of work culture policies in government institutions [11] and the integration of Islamic values in various sectors, such as mosque architecture [10], nursing education [30], and local traditions [31], demonstrate efforts to harmonize religious principles with cultural practices. These studies collectively emphasize the importance of adapting theoretical frameworks and organizational practices to reflect the complex interplay between cultural, religious, and economic factors in contemporary work environments.

Migration serves as an adaptive strategy for communities facing economic constraints, both internally and internationally [18],[19]. It is driven by factors such as wage gaps, income risks, education, and family considerations [19]. Labor migration contributes significantly to local and national economic development, though protection for migrant workers remains inadequate [20]. Environmental changes also influence migration patterns, with rural-to-urban migration exposing populations to new risks in destination areas [32]. Migration decisions are often household strategies to diversify risk, interacting with various contextual factors [33]. The sustainability of geographically-split families depends on care support networks, communication infrastructure, and financial stability [6]. Policies should address the social and economic costs of migration, support left-behind caregivers, improve communication infrastructure, and minimize economic stress for migrant families [6].

Migrant social capital, comprising resources, sources, and recipients, can lead to divergent migration patterns in communities [15]. Gender significantly influences migration causes and consequences, though studies are unevenly distributed across sociology [34]. Marriage migration in Asia highlights various forms of migrant precarity and changes in family patterns and social reproduction [35]. The EU enlargement has led to new East-West migration patterns in Europe, challenging traditional migration narratives [36]. Migration decisions are influenced by factors such as wage gaps, education, and family [19]. Environmental factors also play a role in migration, with households using it as a strategy to diversify risk [33]. However,

migration policies, particularly in developing countries, often lack adequate protection for migrant workers [20]. Social networks and gender dynamics are crucial in understanding migration processes and vulnerabilities [37].

## 2.2. Interaction patterns and social reorganization

Patterns of social interaction are evolving alongside changes in mobility, urbanization, and digital communication. Digital connectivity enables the formation of networked communities that transcend geographic boundaries and introduce new modes of participation, identity expression, and knowledge exchange. However, these transformations also create differentiated access to social capital and influence, leading to new forms of inequality and social fragmentation. Hybrid interaction spaces combining face-to-face and virtual interactions reflect the changing ways individuals experience community belonging and social integration.

Social transformation encompasses changes in production systems and social interactions. Digital technology has driven economic innovation, reshaping industrial structures and productivity [7]. This transformation extends to social-ecological systems, where intersectional equity approaches are crucial for addressing power imbalances and ensuring just transitions [38]. Post-communist cities exemplify multiple transformations in institutional, social, and urban spheres [39]. The concept of sufficiency in sustainable development involves various approaches to social change, including bottom-up, policy-making, and social movement strategies [40]. In manufacturing, social manufacturing systems enable consumer participation throughout the production process [41]. Agroecology offers a framework for sustainable food systems transformation, emphasizing community self-organization [42]. Historical perspectives reveal that digital technology represents the latest wave in humanity's socioeconomic evolution, focusing on information transformation [43].

Meanwhile, recent research highlights the crucial role of social integration mechanisms in absorbing knowledge and strengthening local organizational capacity. Pertiwi et al., (2024) emphasize that these mechanisms can moderate the relationship between potential and realized absorptive capacity [9]. Absorptive capacity is recognized as a key factor in fostering organizational resilience and innovation [44,45]. Easterby-Smith et al., (2008) argue for a process perspective on absorptive capacity that considers power dynamics in knowledge absorption [46]. Social capital, including trust, networks, and norms, plays a vital role in community forest management [17] and local development [47]. Gunawan et al., (2022) suggest integrating social forestry with biodiversity conservation objectives [48]. Evans et al., (2016)

propose a framework for organizational capabilities in integrating care, emphasizing leadership approach, clinician engagement, and readiness for change as critical factors [49].

The digital transformation of urban spaces is reshaping social interactions and governance structures. Smart city initiatives leverage technologies like augmented reality, blockchain, and ICT to enhance citizen participation and urban management [50,51]. These technologies offer opportunities for improved efficiency, transparency, and sustainability in urban services, including waqf management [52]. However, the implementation of smart urbanism also presents challenges, such as digital divides and privacy concerns [53,54]. The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the adoption of digital solutions in crisis management and urban resilience [54]. As digital spaces become increasingly integral to social interactions, they are transforming relationships and individual identities [55]. Urban greening strategies are also benefiting from digital technologies and public policies, promoting sustainable development in cities and their surrounding areas [56,57].

The concept of smart cities has evolved to encompass a holistic approach to urban development, integrating digital technologies, infrastructure, and governance to improve quality of life and sustainability [58]. While smart cities focus on technological solutions and data analytics to enhance efficiency [59,60], there is a growing emphasis on ecological wisdom and socio-ecological transformation [61],[8]. This approach considers the interconnectedness of social, ecological, and technological systems in urban environments [62]. Smart city initiatives aim to address challenges such as flood risk management, citizen participation, and sustainable development [50],[62]. However, implementation faces obstacles including data privacy concerns and unequal access to technology [63]. The integration of digital transformation, infrastructure development, service delivery, and effective governance is crucial for creating truly smart and sustainable cities [58].

### 2.3. Integrating work patterns and interaction patterns

Although extensive literature addresses transformations in work or social interaction independently, studies rarely examine how these two dimensions shape one another in the broader socio-economic transformation process. This creates a theoretical gap: transformations in labor systems cannot be fully understood without considering how individuals reorganize relationships, networks, and identities in response to change. Thus, there is a need to develop an integrated framework that links work structures, social relations, and cultural meaning-making within socio-economic transformation research.

Recent literature emphasizes the importance of indigenous and local knowledge (ILK) in responding to change and enhancing sustainable development policies. ILK can significantly contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of transformations and assessment of transformative change [6]. Integration of ILK in landscape approaches can improve management, governance, and planning for resilient landscapes [64]. However, globalization and modernization are driving a global loss of local ecological knowledge, potentially threatening biodiversity conservation efforts [65]. The combination of religious teachings and local wisdom can foster a unique spirit of capitalism, as seen in the Minangkabau diaspora [29]. In the face of rapid urbanization, traditional craft villages require new development models to preserve cultural heritage [4]. Incorporating local knowledge into adaptive planning is crucial for addressing climate change challenges [66], and involving indigenous peoples in ecological restoration efforts can lead to more successful outcomes [67].

Community-based tourism (CBT) has emerged as a sustainable alternative to conventional tourism, emphasizing local participation and economic benefits (Saayman & Giampiccoli, 2016). Studies highlight the importance of land rights and community involvement in successful CBT programs [12],[68]. Key elements for CBT success include active community participation in decision-making, empowerment, and alignment with local values [68,69].

Recent research highlights the transformative potential of cash waqf and Islamic social finance in economic empowerment and entrepreneurship development, particularly for low-income communities [70,71]. Studies emphasize the importance of ethical management and business principles in zakat and waqf administration [72], as well as the role of digital transformation in enhancing waqf management efficiency [52]. Corporate waqf institutions have demonstrated successful engagement and sustainable performance through factors such as jihad attitude, Islamic knowledge, and strategic leadership [73]. The integration of halal branding and digital media in sociopreneurship models shows promise for empowering MSMEs through zakat institutions [74]. Islamic economic principles are increasingly relevant in modern financial management, promoting ethical foundations and distributive justice [75]. Digital economic innovation is reshaping industrial structures and improving productivity across sectors [7].

## 3. Methodology

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to synthesize interdisciplinary research on work patterns and interaction patterns within the broader context of socio-economic transformation. The review draws upon scholarly publications across

sociology, anthropology, development economics, and social psychology. The purpose of this approach is to identify recurring conceptual linkages, theoretical assumptions, and explanatory patterns that help clarify how work structures and social interactions co-evolve during processes of socio-economic change.

The selection of work patterns and interaction patterns as the research object in this study was based on three criteria. First, both dimensions consistently appear as central mechanisms driving socio-economic transformation across the literature, yet they are rarely examined in an integrated manner, creating a conceptual gap that this study aims to address. Second, these dimensions represent complementary structural and relational processes, aligning with the study's purpose of analyzing socio-economic transformation as an interlinked phenomenon rather than isolated variables. Third, the selection follows an inclusion criterion in which reviewed publications must explicitly discuss changes in labor structures, work organization, social relations, or community interaction patterns within the broader context of socio-economic transformation. Studies that did not meet these inclusion boundaries were excluded from the synthesis. These criteria ensured that the analysis remained focused, conceptually coherent, and relevant to the construction of the proposed integrative framework.

The literature search was conducted using major academic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. The search utilized a combination of keywords such as work patterns, social interaction, socio-economic transformation, cultural economy, labor migration, social networks, and local knowledge. The search process was not restricted by publication year, allowing the inclusion of both foundational theoretical works and contemporary empirical studies. This ensures that the analysis captures the historical evolution of concepts as well as recent advancements in the field.

The inclusion criteria comprised: (1) peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and book chapters; (2) studies addressing the relationship between economic behavior and social organization; and (3) publications that provide conceptual, theoretical, or empirical insights relevant to the analytical dimensions of this study. Excluded sources included non-academic media, opinion pieces, and publications lacking methodological clarity.

Selected literature was analyzed using thematic synthesis, which involves identifying recurring concepts, grouping them into analytical categories, and constructing interpretive linkages that reveal how work patterns and interaction patterns contribute to socio-economic transformation. This approach enables the development of a coherent conceptual framework that integrates findings across diverse disciplinary perspectives.

## 4. Results

This study identifies four core thematic dimensions that explain how work patterns and interaction patterns contribute to socio-economic transformation across diverse community and institutional contexts. These dimensions were obtained through thematic synthesis of the literature analyzed.

### 4.1. Work patterns as adaptive economic strategies

Work patterns are not static; they shift as communities and individuals respond to structural changes such as market expansion, technological development, and shifting labor demands. The literature shows that work is shaped by both economic rationality and cultural expectations. In many contexts, households diversify income sources, engage in informal labor, or migrate temporarily to adapt to economic uncertainty. This indicates that work decisions are embedded in social obligations, kinship networks, and moral values, rather than driven by economic efficiency alone. Thus, transformation in work structures reflects not only economic adaptation but also cultural negotiation regarding identity, belonging, and responsibility.

The digital economy and technological changes are driving shifts in work patterns from traditional sectors to the gig economy and digital platforms [76],[24]. This transformation affects not only job structures but also work values and cultural norms [27]. The integration of Islamic work ethics and local wisdom into economic practices is observed in some communities [29],[77]. Social and solidarity economy organizations are emerging as potential solutions to address challenges in the future of work [25]. Efforts to develop work culture in government institutions are ongoing, with varying degrees of implementation [11]. Local traditions can serve as means of promoting religious moderation and tolerance in diverse communities [31]. These changes necessitate new approaches to human resource management and the consideration of workers as key players in technological processes [27].

Local traditions in Indonesia demonstrate how cultural practices shape sustainable work ethics and economic empowerment. The "tesang" tradition in South Sulawesi promotes profit-sharing and economic improvement while reflecting Islamic teachings [2]. Similarly, the Minangkabau diaspora's spirit of capitalism is rooted in religious teachings and local wisdom, fostering resilient family-based business networks [29]. Traditional ceremonies, like those of the Tengger tribe, can become sustainable tourism objects [78]. Local wisdom-based corporate social responsibility activities are categorized into three types: based on, considering, or using local wisdom [79]. The Bajo Mola community's conservative traditions contribute to sustainable marine ecotourism [80]. Traditional weaving in Indonesia integrates cultural and economic principles [81]. The "pangngan" tradition in

Toraja promotes religious moderation [31], while migrant worker management policies in East Lombok face challenges due to limited fiscal capacity [20].

Besides that, the rise of precarious work, particularly in developing countries, has created new inequalities in job security and social protection access [28]. Platform-based labor and short-term contracts have increased job insecurity, especially for racial minorities and immigrants [82,83]. This trend is exacerbated by the fourth industrial revolution and post-COVID-19 globalization [84]. Informal work, while providing opportunities, often lacks adequate labor standards and social protections [85,86]. The growth of precarious employment has significant health implications for workers, families, and communities [87]. Addressing these challenges requires adapting labor policies, strengthening institutions, and promoting social dialogue [83,88]. Effective strategies may include improving enforcement of formalization on the extensive margin and exploring the use of digital records to facilitate the transition of informal workers towards formality [85,86].

#### **4.2. Interaction patterns and reorganization of social relations**

Patterns of interaction evolve as mobility and communication technologies change the ways people form relationships. Digital communication increases the reach of social networks, while urbanization concentrates interactions in more dynamic social settings. However, increased connectivity does not eliminate traditional forms of solidarity; instead, communities develop hybrid interaction systems where both online and face-to-face relationships coexist. This reorganization indicates that socio-economic transformation is accompanied by changes in how individuals understand community membership, cooperation, and trust.

Digital transformation is reshaping social interactions, governance, and economic models in urban environments through technologies like smart cities, blockchain, and digital platforms [50,89]. This transformation offers opportunities for improved public services, citizen engagement, and economic growth [90,91]. However, challenges persist, including digital divides, data security concerns, and the need for regulatory reforms [92,93]. The concept of social digital twins aims to integrate social dimensions into urban digital models, enhancing representation of complex urban systems [94]. Enterprise architecture is proposed as a framework for managing the digital transformation of smart cities [60]. As digital platforms like the Metaverse emerge, they present both opportunities and ethical concerns for urban futures [89]. Addressing these challenges is crucial for ensuring equitable access to the benefits of digital transformation across all segments of society.

The digital age has transformed social interactions, with virtual communities becoming important spaces for identity formation and collective solidarity [55]. Online platforms now serve as social agents influencing public opinion, creating alternative economic networks, and advocating for local issues [95,96]. These virtual spaces blur the boundaries between public and private spheres [97] and reshape socio-spatial relations [98]. Social capital in online interactions is better understood through an agonistic model rather than a communitarian one [99]. The internet has become a primary arena for musical sociality in some contexts [96]. However, the rapid technological changes, exemplified by the metaverse, pose new challenges for urban spaces and social relations [98]. Despite these digital transformations, traditional forms of social harmony, such as those observed between religious communities, remain important in maintaining social cohesion [100].

Recent research highlights the evolving nature of social interactions in the digital age, where geographical boundaries are transcended by digital connectivity. Social networks now extend across physical and digital spaces, creating new forms of relationships and interactions [55,101]. This shift demands a reevaluation of contemporary social dynamics, emphasizing the integration of physical and digital realms in social analysis [101]. The internet has become a platform for diverse relationships, influencing individual and collective existence [55]. However, the impact of digital interactions on loneliness is complex, with both positive and negative outcomes depending on usage patterns [102]. The digital landscape also affects interfaith dialogues and social contracts, necessitating new approaches to fostering understanding and cooperation [103,104]. Furthermore, online interactions have led to the emergence of hybrid identities and discourses, particularly in multicultural contexts [99,105].

#### **4.3. The role of local knowledge and cultural norms**

Local and Indigenous Knowledge (ILK) continues to influence how communities organize work and social interactions. Values such as reciprocity, mutual care, and shared responsibility form a cultural foundation that supports community resilience and social cohesion. These cultural frameworks enable communities to respond collectively to external pressures, such as economic restructuring, resource extraction, or market competition. Therefore, cultural norms do not disappear under modernization; instead, they function as adaptive mechanisms that stabilize social systems during periods of change.

Indigenous and local knowledge (ILK) plays a crucial role in maintaining ecosystem sustainability and community development. Research shows that ILK contributes to ecological restoration, biodiversity conservation, and climate change adaptation [65,67]. ILK is valuable in landscape management, natural

resource governance, and disaster risk reduction [64,106]. However, globalization and modernization threaten ILK, leading to its erosion in many parts of the world [65]. To address this, scholars advocate for greater inclusion of ILK in ecological assessments and sustainability transformations research [6,107]. Local wisdom also plays a role in nature conservation, with indigenous practices contributing to forest management, flora and fauna conservation, and food security [108]. Additionally, Islamic economic instruments like cash waqf show potential in supporting entrepreneurship and community economic empowerment [21].

Recent research highlights the importance of integrating Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK) into sustainability and conservation efforts. ILK is recognized as crucial for addressing environmental challenges, enhancing community resilience, and informing policy decisions [109,110]. Studies emphasize the need for participatory approaches that bridge ILK with scientific knowledge, promoting inclusive and context-specific solutions [6,111]. Biocultural approaches are gaining attention, acknowledging the interconnectedness of biological and cultural diversity [112]. Researchers advocate for equitable knowledge co-production, respecting diverse epistemologies while avoiding power imbalances [113]. Integrating ILK into landscape management and large-scale ecological assessments has shown promise in improving governance, planning, and resilience [64,107]. However, challenges remain in effectively linking ILK with scientific knowledge and ensuring meaningful inclusion of indigenous perspectives in sustainability transformations.

#### **4.4. Migration as a catalyst of socio-economic transformation**

Migration emerges as both a livelihood strategy and a transformative social process. It alters household labor arrangements, redistributes income, and introduces new skills and worldviews. However, migration also reshapes social identity and community relationships, as individuals negotiate belonging across multiple geographic and cultural spaces. This suggests that migration is not only economic relocation, but also a redefinition of social ties, influencing how work and interaction patterns evolve over time.

Domestic and international migration play a strategic role in household responses to economic pressures, influenced by factors beyond economics such as gender, social capital, environment, and power relations [33,34]. Migration decisions are shaped by individual and household characteristics, including age, education, marital status, and family structure [19,114]. The process creates new social structures, including geographically separated families and cross-location support networks [115]. Migrant social capital, comprising resources, sources, and recipients,

differentially affects migration outcomes for individuals and communities [15]. Environmental factors also play a role in migration decisions, with migration often serving as a form of environmental adaptation [33]. The impacts of migration extend to family patterns, social reproduction, and migrant precarity, particularly for women in marriage migration contexts [35]. These dynamics have significant implications for migration and development policies [22].

Further, migration has significant long-term impacts on social reproduction patterns and resource distribution in both origin and destination communities. Research shows that migration, especially of women, alters gender roles in households and introduces new forms of leadership and decision-making at the local level [34,35]. Migration is often a household strategy to diversify risk, influenced by factors such as social networks, household composition, and individual characteristics [15,33]. It can lead to the reconfiguration of rural-urban differentiation and state-society relations [116]. In Indonesia, internal migration patterns vary based on spatial scales and regions, with gender and family structure playing important roles in migration decision-making [114]. While migrant workers contribute significantly to local and national economies, protection policies remain inadequate, leading to increased vulnerabilities [20]. Migration decisions are influenced by various factors, including wage gaps, education, and infrastructure [19].

Remittances from migrant workers play a crucial role in improving the economic conditions of their families back home, particularly in areas of health, nutrition, and education [20,117]. These financial transfers can contribute to local economic development and poverty reduction [118,119]. However, the impact of migration is complex, with potential risks such as increased inequality and health issues [115,117]. Transnational entrepreneurship and rural entrepreneurship have emerged as sustainable alternatives for migrants and returnees [120,121]. The effectiveness of migration as a development strategy depends on various factors, including social capital, communication infrastructure, and policy support [15,115]. To maximize the benefits of migration, governments need to implement comprehensive policies addressing reintegration, protection, and empowerment of migrants and their families [20,115].

The findings of this study indicate that work patterns and interaction patterns constitute mutually reinforcing dimensions of socio-economic transformation. These findings align with, yet also extend, previous research on labor transitions, social restructuring, and community adaptation. Studies on work transitions have shown that digitalization, automation, and platform-based labor are reshaping job structures, employment stability, and work ethics [122,123]. Similarly, prior research on migration and labor mobility emphasizes how shifting work

arrangements influence household economic strategies and social well-being [124]. The present study confirms these observations while demonstrating that such changes cannot be fully understood without analyzing simultaneous shifts in community interaction patterns.

Parallel findings also appear in the literature on social interaction and community change. Scholars have documented how urbanization and digital connectivity reshape community boundaries, participation modes, and social capital formation [125,126]. Our findings refine this understanding by showing that interaction patterns are not merely social by-products but integral mechanisms that co-evolve with work structures to shape socio-economic transformation. This integrated interpretation rarely emphasized in earlier studies is one of the key conceptual contributions of this review.

With respect to the research question identified in the Introduction how work patterns and interaction patterns function as interconnected dimensions in socio-economic transformation the study concludes that these dimensions operate through three intertwined mechanisms: structural changes in labor, shifts in relational dynamics, and the mediating role of Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK). ILK's significance in shaping resilience, ecological adaptation, and social cohesion is consistent with prior research [127] but this study highlights its role within the broader architecture of socio-economic change, which earlier literature often treats separately.

Strengths of the study include:

- (1) its integrative theoretical approach,
- (2) systematic synthesis across multiple disciplines, and
- (3) clarification of the joint mechanisms underlying socio-economic transformation.

Limitations arise from:

- (1) reliance on secondary literature rather than primary data,
- (2) variations in conceptual definitions across sources, and
- (3) limited empirical studies specifically addressing both dimensions simultaneously.

Implications include the need for:

- Policies that support ILK-based resilience,
- Institutional protection for migrant and platform-based workers, and
- Community development strategies that account for digital and urban transitions.

Overall, this discussion demonstrates that socio-economic transformation is best understood as a co-evolving process shaped by dynamic intersections between labor structures and social interaction systems.

### Synthesis of Findings

The literature demonstrates that work patterns and interaction patterns are mutually reinforcing dimensions of socio-economic transformation. Changes in work arrangements influence how individuals form

relationships and participate in social networks, while changes in social interaction reshape the meaning and organization of work. Socio-economic transformation, therefore, cannot be fully understood without analyzing how these two dimensions interact within broader cultural and institutional contexts.

## 5. Conclusion

Socio-economic transformation is shaped by the dynamic interplay between changing work patterns and evolving interaction patterns within communities. The literature demonstrates that work is not only an economic function, but is embedded in cultural values, kinship relations, and social expectations, while patterns of interaction shift in response to mobility, digital communication, and changing spatial arrangements.

The findings of this study offer several theoretical implications. By integrating work patterns and interaction patterns into a unified analytical framework, the study contributes to the development of a more holistic conceptual understanding of socio-economic transformation, overcoming the fragmented approaches noted in previous research. This framework strengthens theoretical explanations of how structural, cultural, and relational changes co-evolve within communities. Practically, the findings provide insights for designing development policies that are culturally grounded and community-based. They highlight the need for strengthening ILK-driven resilience, improving institutional support for migrant households, and promoting adaptive digital and urban-transition strategies. These policy implications are particularly relevant for indigenous and rural communities experiencing rapid economic restructuring.

Local knowledge and cultural norms continue to provide adaptive frameworks that sustain collective resilience, and migration acts as both a livelihood strategy and a catalyst of social reorganization. Together, these dimensions reveal that socio-economic transformation is not a linear process of modernization, but a negotiated restructuring of social and economic life. This study contributes by developing an integrated conceptual understanding of how work and interaction patterns co-evolve, providing a theoretical foundation for further research and policy design grounded in social inclusion and cultural relevance.

This study makes an original academic contribution by integrating work patterns and interaction patterns into a unified analytical framework for understanding socio-economic transformation. Unlike previous studies that treat labor dynamics and social relations as separate phenomena [1–3], this review demonstrates how both dimensions co-evolve through structural labor change, relational reconfiguration, and the mediating role of Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK) [4,5]. By synthesizing multidisciplinary literature, the study advances theoretical clarity on transformation

mechanisms and provides a structured conceptual basis for future empirical and policy-oriented research.

Based on the findings of this study, several practical recommendations can be proposed. Policymakers and development institutions should design socio-economic programs that integrate labor transformation with evolving community interaction patterns, while strengthening the role of Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK) in enhancing social resilience and adaptive capacity [1–4]. Institutional protection is also needed for workers affected by digitalization, migration, and non-standard employment arrangements [2–5]. Future research should move beyond literature-based analysis by incorporating comparative empirical studies across regions, longitudinal designs to capture dynamic transformation processes, and mixed-method approaches to examine the interplay between work structures, social relations, and local knowledge systems.

### Funding

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education (Lembaga Pengelola Dana Pendidikan/LPDP), Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia, for the financial support provided through the LPDP scholarship program, which made this research and its publication possible.

### References

- [1] Huntington, H.P., Begossi, A., Gearheard, S.F., Kersey, B., Loring, P.A., Mustonen, T., Paudel, P.K., Silvano, R.A.M., Vave, R., Huntington, H.P., et al. (2017). How small communities respond to environmental change: patterns from tropical to polar ecosystems. *Ecology and Society* 22, 9. <https://doi.org/10.5751/es-09171-220309>.
- [2] Abd Rahman, R., Jubba, H., Abdullah, I., Supardin, Hasan, H., Tanggareng, T., and Yusuf, M. (2023). Local wisdom in economic practice: An empowerment Fiqh analysis of the tesang tradition of the Buginese and Makassarese. *Cogent Social Sciences* 9. 10.1080/23311886.2023.2194112.
- [3] Nursanty, E., and Wulandari, A. (2023). Unveiling the Authenticity of Islamic Values: the Evolution and Transformation of Traditional Villages. *Journal of Islamic Architecture* 7, 595–612. 10.18860/jia.v7i4.21258.
- [4] Ngo, L.-M., and Anh, T.D. (2021). A new development model for traditional craft villages in urban fringes: A case study in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *Journal of Regional and City Planning* 32, 99–109. 10.5614/JPWK.2021.32.2.1.
- [5] Chernyak-Hai, L., and Rabenu, E. (2018). The New Era Workplace Relationships: Is Social Exchange Theory Still Relevant? *Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 11, 456–481. 10.1017/iop.2018.5.
- [6] Lam, D.P.M., Hinz, E., Lang, D.J., Tengö, M., von Wehrden, H., and Martín-López, B. (2020). Indigenous and local knowledge in sustainability transformations research: A literature review. *Ecology and Society* 25. 10.5751/ES-11305-250103.
- [7] Yoo, I., and Yi, C.G. (2022). Economic Innovation Caused by Digital Transformation and Impact on Social Systems. *Sustainability* 14, 1–18. 10.3390/su14052600.
- [8] Brandl, J., and Zielinska, I. (2020). Reviewing the smart city Vienna framework strategy's potential as an eco-social policy in the context of quality of work and socio-ecological transformation. *Sustainability* 12, 1–17. 10.3390/su12030859.
- [9] Pertiwi, A., Mursitama, T.N., Beng, J.T., and Elidjen (2024). The Under-Developed Social Integration Mechanism as Moderating Factor of Two-Dimensional Absorptive Capacity Relationship: Systematic Literature Review. *SAGE Open* 14. 10.1177/21582440231203904.
- [10] Zainuri, A. (2020). INTEGRATION OF ISLAM AND LOCAL CULTURE IN THE ARCHITECTURE OF ANCIENT MOSQUE IN JAVA: AN OVERVIEW. *Heritage: Journal of Social Studies* 2, 125–144. <https://doi.org/10.35719/hrtg.v2i2.58>.
- [11] Fauzi, A. (2022). Implementasi PermenPANRB No. 39 Tahun 2012 Tentang Pedoman Pengembangan Budaya Kerja di Pemerintah Provinsi Bali. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Ilmu Politik* 4, 802–824. 10.56552/jisipol.v4i1.86.
- [12] Martini, and Lubis, E. (2021). Pemanfaatan Hak Guna Usaha Untuk Pariwisata Dalam Rangka Peningkatan. *Veritas* 8, 24–36.
- [13] Asri, K.H., and Ilyas, A. (2022). Penguatan Ekosistem Halal Value Chain sebagai Pengembangan Industri Halal Menuju Era 5.0. *ALIF: Sharia Economics Journal* 1, 37–47. 10.37010/alif.v1i1.712.
- [14] Cheba, K., Bąk, I., and Pietrzak, M.B. (2023). CONDITIONS OF THE GREEN TRANSFORMATION. THE CASE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy* 29, 438–467. 10.3846/tede.2022.17993.
- [15] Garip, F. (2008). SOCIAL CAPITAL AND MIGRATION: HOW DO SIMILAR RESOURCES LEAD TO DIVERGENT OUTCOMES? *Demography* 45, 591–617. <https://doi.org/10.1353/dem.0.0016>.
- [16] Lee, Y., Rianti, I.P., and Park, M.S. (2017). Measuring social capital in Indonesian community forest management. *Forest Science and Technology* 13, 133–141. 10.1080/21580103.2017.1355335.
- [17] Puspita, N.T., Qurniati, R., and Febryano, I.G. (2020). Social Capital of Community Forest Management in Batutegei Forest Management Unit. *Jurnal Sylva Lestari* 8, 54–64. <https://doi.org/10.23960/jsl1854-64>.
- [18] Schmidt, M., and Sagynbekova, L. (2008). Migration past and present: Changing patterns in

- Kyrgyzstan. *Central Asian Survey* 27, 111–127. 10.1080/02634930802355030.
- [19] Syafitri, W. (2013). Determinants of Labour Migration Decisions: The Case of East Java, Indonesia. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies* 49, 385–386. 10.1080/00074918.2013.850638.
- [20] Jalaluddin, and Suriadi, I. (2019). Dinamika Kependudukan Dan Dampaknya Terhadap Perubahan Lingkungan (Kasus Penambangan Batu Apung Ijobalit Kec. Labuan Haji Lombok Timur). *Ekonobis* 5, 64–96. <https://doi.org/10.29303/ekonobis.v5i2.45>.
- [21] Azzahra, S.N., Jannah, M., Adelia, Y., Oktaviani, I., Pratiwi, W., Fatimah, Azkia, Q.K., and Agustina, M. (2024). Konsep Program Kewirausahaan dan Pemberdayaan Ekonomi Berbasis Wakaf Uang. *Journal of Economis and Business* 2, 209–216.
- [22] Kwilinski, A., Lyulyov, O., Pimonenko, T., Dzwigol, H., Abazov, R., and Pudryk, D. (2022). International Migration Drivers: Economic, Environmental, Social, and Political Effects. *Sustainability* 14, 1–17. 10.3390/su14116413.
- [23] Frank, M.R., Autor, D., Bessen, J.E., Brynjolfsson, E., Cebrian, M., Deming, D.J., Feldman, M., Groh, M., Lobo, J., Moro, E., et al. (2019). Toward understanding the impact of artificial intelligence on labor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 116, 6531–6539. 10.1073/pnas.1900949116.
- [24] Scully-Russ, E., and Torraco, R. (2020). The Changing Nature and Organization of Work: An Integrative Review of the Literature. *Human Resource Development Review* 19, 66–93. 10.1177/1534484319886394.
- [25] Borzaga, C., Salvatori, G., and Bodini, R. (2019). Social and Solidarity Economy and the Future of Work. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation in Emerging Economies* 5, 37–57. 10.1177/2393957518815300.
- [26] Taylor, A. (2012). More than Mobile: Migration and Mobility Impacts from the “Technologies of Change” for Aboriginal Communities in the Remote Northern Territory of Australia. *Mobilities* 7, 269–294. 10.1080/17450101.2012.654997.
- [27] Ignatieva, I., Saraeva, O., Zedgenizova, I., and Zvezdina, A. (2023). The impact of the digital economy on employment and labour market transformations: The main trends. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies* 6, 507–513. 10.53894/ijirss.v6i3.1554.
- [28] Kalleberg, A.L., and Hewison, K. (2013). Precarious Work and the Challenge for Asia. *American Behavioral Scientist* 57, 271–288. 10.1177/0002764212466238.
- [29] Mochlasin, and Budiharjo (2024). Islamic Work Ethics, Local Wisdom, and Spirit of Capitalism: Insight from a Perantau Minangkabau. *Indonesian Journal of Islam and Muslim Societies* 14, 289–318. <https://doi.org/10.18326/ijims.v14i2>.
- [30] Setiowati, D., Utomo, W.B., and Agustina, M. (2023). Integration in nursing curriculum for building Islamic nurses’ character in Indonesia: a descriptive qualitative approach. *Healthcare in Low-resource Settings* 11. 10.4081/hls.2023.11739.
- [31] Rerung, A.E. (2023). Tradisi Pangnan Sebagai Sarana Moderasi Beragama Berbasis Kearifan Lokal di Toraja. *Dialog* 46, 145–156. 10.47655/dialog.v46i2.870.
- [32] Adger, W.N., Arnell, N.W., Black, R., Dercon, S., Geddes, A., and Thomas, D.S.G. (2015). Focus on environmental risks and migration: Causes and consequences. *Environmental Research Letters* 10, 1–6. 10.1088/1748-9326/10/6/060201.
- [33] Hunter, L.M., Luna, J.K., and Norton, R.M. (2015). Environmental Dimensions of Migration. *Annual Review of Sociology* 41, 377–397. 10.1146/annurev-soc-073014-112223.
- [34] Curran, S.R., Shafer, S., and Donato, K.M. (2006). Mapping gender and migration in sociological scholarship: Is it segregation or integration? *International Migration Review* 40, 199–223. 10.1111/j.1747-7379.2006.00008.x.
- [35] Piper, N., and Lee, S. (2016). Marriage migration, migrant precarity, and social reproduction in Asia: an overview. *Critical Asian Studies* 48, 473–493. 10.1080/14672715.2016.1226598.
- [36] Favell, A. (2008). The new face of East-West migration in Europe. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 34, 701–716. 10.1080/13691830802105947.
- [37] Thieme, S., and Siegmann, K.A. (2010). Coping on Women’s Backs: Social Capital-Vulnerability Links through a Gender Lens. *Current Sociology* 58, 715–737. 10.1177/0011392110372732.
- [38] Sánchez-García, P.A., Jónas, K., Pellowe, K.E., Ekström, H., Scheuermann, M., and Loft, L. (2025). Toward an intersectional equity approach in social-ecological transformations. *Global Sustainability* 8, 1–14. 10.1017/sus.2025.2.
- [39] Sykora, L., B. (2012). Multiple transformations: conceptualising post-communist urban transition. *Urban Studies* 49, 1–57.
- [40] Lorek, S., Kreinin, H., Hayden, A., and Lage, J. (2022). Sufficiency and transformation—A semi-systematic literature review of notions of social change in different concepts of sufficiency. *Frontiers in Sustainability* 3, 01–21. 10.3389/frsus.2022.954660.
- [41] Sari, M.W., Herianto, Dharma, I.G.B.B., and Tontowi, A.E. (2023). Social Manufacturing on Integrated Production System: A Systematic Literature Review. *Management Systems in Production Engineering* 31, 18–26. 10.2478/mspe-2023-0003.
- [42] Anderson, C.R., Bruil, J., Chappell, M.J., Kiss, C., and Pimbert, M.P. (2019). From transition to domains of transformation: Getting to sustainable and just food systems through agroecology. *Sustainability* 11, 1–28.

- 10.3390/su11195272.
- [43] Hilbert, M. (2020). Digital technology and social change: The digital transformation of society from a historical perspective. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience* 22, 189–194. 10.31887/dens.2020.22.2/mhilbert.
- [44] Khin Khin Oo, N.C., and Rakthin, S. (2022). Integrative Review of Absorptive Capacity's Role in Fostering Organizational Resilience and Research Agenda. *Sustainability* 14, 1–27. 10.3390/su141912570.
- [45] Rozak, H., Taswan, T., Mansyur, A., and Fachrunnisa, O. (2024). STRENGTHENING INNOVATIVE BEHAVIOR: THE ROLE OF SUPPORTIVE CLIMATE AND ABSORPTIVE CAPACITY. *Business: Theory and Practice* 25, 350–361. 10.3846/btp.2024.20095.
- [46] Easterby-Smith, M., Graça, M., Antonacopoulou, E., and Ferdinand, J. (2008). Absorptive capacity: A process perspective. *Management Learning* 39, 483–501. 10.1177/1350507608096037.
- [47] Romero-Rodríguez, J.M., Ramírez-Montoya, M.S., Aznar-Díaz, I., and Hinojo-Lucena, F.J. (2020). Social appropriation of knowledge as a key factor for local development and open innovation: A systematic review. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity* 6, 1–13. 10.3390/JOITMC6020044.
- [48] Gunawan, H., Yeny, I., Karlina, E., Suharti, S., Murniati, Subarudi, Mulyanto, B., Ekawati, S., Garsetiasih, R., Pratiwi, et al. (2022). Integrating Social Forestry and Biodiversity Conservation in Indonesia. *Forests* 13, 1–27. 10.3390/f13122152.
- [49] Evans, J.M., Grudniewicz, A., Baker, G.R., and Wodchis, W.P. (2016). Organizational context and capabilities for integrating care: A framework for improvement. *International Journal of Integrated Care* 16, 1–14. 10.5334/ijic.2416.
- [50] Oliveira, T.A., Oliver, M., and Ramalhinho, H. (2020). Challenges for connecting citizens and smart cities: ICT, e-governance and blockchain. *Sustainability* 12, 1–21. 10.3390/su12072926.
- [51] Parker, C., Y.S., Jenek, W., and Lee, Y. (2021). Augmenting Space: The role of immersive technologies in future cities. *Interaction Design and Architecture(s)* 48, 5–7. <https://doi.org/10.55612/s-5002-048-001psi>.
- [52] Hatimah, H., Sukoharsono, E.G., Djamhuri, A., and Adib, N. (2024). Exploring Waqf Management Transformation: Opportunities and Challenges (case study in Ismuhu Yahya Foundation Pontianak). *Journal of Ecohumanism* 3, 58–68. 10.62754/joe.v3i8.4712.
- [53] Luque-Ayala, A., and Marvin, S. (2015). Developing a critical understanding of smart urbanism. *Handbook of Urban Geography*, 210–224. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781785364600.00024>.
- [54] Hassankhani, M., Alidadi, M., Sharifi, A., and Azhdari, A. (2021). Smart city and crisis management: Lessons for the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 18, 1–18. 10.3390/ijerph18157736.
- [55] Romeo, A. (2024). New Forms of Interaction in the Digital Age: The Use of the Telephone. *Social Sciences* 13, 1–9. 10.3390/socsci13030153.
- [56] Sousa, M.J. (2022). Digital Technologies and Public Policies Applied to Green Cities. *Land* 11, 1–18. 10.3390/land11112097.
- [57] De Siqueira, G., Malaj, S., and Hamdani, M. (2022). Digitalization, Participation and Interaction: Towards More Inclusive Tools in Urban Design—A Literature Review. *Sustainability* 14, 1–13. 10.3390/su14084514.
- [58] Das, D.K. (2024). Exploring the Symbiotic Relationship between Digital Transformation, Infrastructure, Service Delivery, and Governance for Smart Sustainable Cities. *Smart Cities* 7, 806–835. 10.3390/smartcities7020034.
- [59] Winkowska, J., Szpilko, D., and Pejic, S. (2019). Smart city concept in the light of the literature review. *Engineering Management in Production and Services* 11, 70–86. 10.2478/emj-2019-0012.
- [60] Anthony Jnr, B. (2021). Managing digital transformation of smart cities through enterprise architecture—a review and research agenda. *Enterprise Information Systems* 15, 299–331. 10.1080/17517575.2020.1812006.
- [61] Young, R.F., and Lieberknecht, K. (2019). From smart cities to wise cities: ecological wisdom as a basis for sustainable urban development. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 62, 1675–1692. 10.1080/09640568.2018.1484343.
- [62] Ariyaningsih, and Shaw, R. (2022). Integration of SETS (Social–Ecological–Technological Systems) Framework and Flood Resilience Cycle for Smart Flood Risk Management. *Smart Cities* 5, 1312–1335. 10.3390/smartcities5040067.
- [63] Gracias, J.S., Parnell, G.S., Specking, E., Pohl, E.A., and Buchanan, R. (2023). Smart Cities—A Structured Literature Review. *Smart Cities* 6, 1719–1743. 10.3390/smartcities6040080.
- [64] Williams, P.A., Sikutshwa, L., and Shackleton, S. (2020). Acknowledging indigenous and local knowledge to facilitate collaboration in landscape approaches: lessons from a systematic review. *Land* 9, 1–17. 10.3390/LAND9090331.
- [65] Aswani, S., Lemahieu, A., and Sauer, W.H.H. (2018). Global trends of local ecological knowledge and future implications. *PLoS One* 13. 10.1371/journal.pone.0195440.
- [66] Kirby, A. (2020). Reconstructing Powerful Knowledge in an era of climate change. *Revista Produção e Desenvolvimento* 6, 1–13. 10.32358/rpd.2020.v6.427.
- [67] Reyes-García, V., Fernández-Llamazares, Á., McElwee, P., Molnár, Z., Öllerer, K., Wilson, S.J., and Brondizio, E.S. (2019). The contributions of Indigenous Peoples and local communities to ecological restoration.

- Restoration Ecology* 27, 3–8. 10.1111/rec.12894.
- [68] Sapkota, K.P., Palamanit, A., Techato, K., Gyawali, S., Ghimire, H.P., and Khatiwada, B. (2024). The Role of Local Community in Enhancing Sustainable Community Based Tourism. *J. Electrical Systems* 20, 558–571.
- [69] Dodds, R., Ali, A., and Galaski, K. (2018). Mobilising Knowledge: Determining key elements for success and pitfalls in developing Community Based Tourism. *Current Issues in Tourism* 21, 1547–1568. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2016.1150257>.
- [70] Azzahra, F., Firdauz, M.H.A., Nasrullah, and Kurnia, S. (2023). Studi Pustaka: “Penerapan Praktek Ganti Rugi Ditinjau Dari Fatwa Dewan Syari’Ah Nasional MUI Nomor 43/DSN-MUI/VLII/2004 Tentang Ganti Rugi (TA’WIDH).” *ACCRUAL: Accounting Research Journal* 2, 43–59.
- [71] Syarifuddin, F. (2024). Productive WAQF business models through the integration of Islamic social and commercial finance. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology* 8, 620–655. 10.55214/25768484.v8i4.1440.
- [72] Putri, R.N., Febrian, A., Wasmiyani, Amri, Damayanti, K., and Martanti (2024). Peran Etika Bisnis Dalam Pengelolaan Zakat Dan Wakaf. *Journal of Economis and Business* 2, 11–20.
- [73] Ramli, A., Fahmi, F., Darus, F., and Ismail, N. (2018). Primary Drivers of Sustainable Performance: The Case of Corporate Waqf. *Global Journal Al-Thaqafah*, 207–218. 10.7187/GJATSI2018-14.
- [74] Azizah, S.N., Salam, A.N., and Arifin, A.Z. (2023). MODEL DESIGN OF SOCIOPRENEURSHIP: HALAL BASED-DEVELOPMENT OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES THROUGH ZAKAT INSTITUTIONS. *ISRA International Journal of Islamic Finance (IJIF)* 46, 2289–4365. 10.55188/ijif.v.
- [75] Ma’arif, A.A., Alam, U., Arsyad, A., Pamungkas, C.A., Setiawan, D., Hidayat, R., Aditya, I., and History, A. (2024). Implementasi Prinsip-Prinsip Ekonomi Islam Dalam Pengelolaan Keuangan Keluarga ARTICLE INFO. *Journal of Economis and Business* 2, 34–42.
- [76] Kaine, S., and Josserand, E. (2019). The organisation and experience of work in the gig economy. *Journal of Industrial Relations* 61, 479–501. 10.1177/0022185619865480.
- [77] Kamilah, K., and Nasution, Y.S.J. (2024). The Optimization of Digitalization in Facing Global Competition: The Case of Islamic Accounting. *Qubahan Academic Journal* 4, 14–22. 10.48161/qaj.v4n2a232.
- [78] Astina, I.K., Sumarmi, Felicia, M.Y., and Kurniawati, E. (2021). THE TRADITIONAL CEREMONIES of TENGGER TRIBE AS A SUSTAINABLE TOURISM OBJECT in INDONESIA. *GeoJournal of Tourism and Geosites* 39, 1371–1378. 10.30892/gtg.394spl07-780.
- [79] Susanto, Y.K., Rudyanto, A., and Rahayuningsih, D.A. (2022). Redefining the Concept of Local Wisdom-Based CSR and Its Practice. *Sustainability* 14, 1–23. 10.3390/su141912069.
- [80] Marlina, Sumarmi, and Astina, I.K. (2020). Sustainable Marine Ecotourism Management: A Case Of Marine Resource Conservation Based On Local Wisdom Of Bajo Mola Community In Wakatobi National Park. *GeoJournal of Tourism and Geosites* 32, 1317–1323. 10.30892/gtg.32419-575.
- [81] Samuel, H., Mangoting, Y., and Hatane, S.E. (2022). The Interpretation of Quality in the Sustainability of Indonesian Traditional Weaving. *Sustainability* 14, 1–17. 10.3390/su141811344.
- [82] Landsbergis, P.A., Grzywacz, J.G., and Lamontagne, A.D. (2014). Work organization, job insecurity, and occupational health disparities. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine* 57, 495–515. 10.1002/ajim.22126.
- [83] Aloisi, A. (2022). Platform work in Europe: Lessons learned, legal developments and challenges ahead. *European Labour Law Journal* 13, 4–29. 10.1177/20319525211062557.
- [84] Koutroukis, T., Chatzinikolaou, D., Vlados, C., and Pistikou, V. (2022). The Post-COVID-19 Era, Fourth Industrial Revolution, and New Globalization: Restructured Labor Relations and Organizational Adaptation. *Societies* 12, 1–17. 10.3390/soc12060187.
- [85] Cieslik, K., Banya, R., and Vira, B. (2022). Offline contexts of online jobs: Platform drivers, decent work, and informality in Lagos, Nigeria. *Development Policy Review* 40, 1–19. 10.1111/dpr.12595.
- [86] Ulyseas, G. (2020). Informality: causes and consequences for development. *Annual Review of Economics* 12, 525–546. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-economics-082119-121914>.
- [87] Benach, J., Vives, A., Amable, M., Vanroelen, C., Tarafa, G., and Muntaner, C. (2014). Precarious employment: Understanding an emerging social determinant of health. In *Annual Review of Public Health* (Annual Reviews Inc.), pp. 229–253. 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032013-182500.
- [88] Tokman, V.E. (2001). Las relaciones entre los sectores formal e informal. Una exploración sobre su naturaleza. *Economia* 24, 17–73. <https://doi.org/10.18800/economia.200102.001>.
- [89] Allam, Z., Sharifi, A., Bibri, S.E., Jones, D.S., and Krogstie, J. (2022). The Metaverse as a Virtual Form of Smart Cities: Opportunities and Challenges for Environmental, Economic, and Social Sustainability in Urban Futures. *Smart Cities* 5, 771–801. 10.3390/smartcities5030040.
- [90] Farliana, N., Murniawaty, I., and Hardianto, H. (2023). Sustainability of the Digital Economy in Indonesia: Opportunities, Challenges and Future Development. *Review of Business and Economics Studies* 11, 21–28. 10.26794/2308-944X-2023-11-4-21-28.

- [91] Latupeirissa, J.J.P., Dewi, N.L.Y., Prayana, I.K.R., Srikandi, M.B., Ramadiansyah, S.A., and Pramana, I.B.G.A.Y. (2024). Transforming Public Service Delivery: A Comprehensive Review of Digitization Initiatives. *Sustainability* 16, 1–23. 10.3390/su16072818.
- [92] Zdjelar, R., and Hrustek, N.Ž. (2021). Digital Divide and E-Inclusion as Challenges of the Information Society – Research Review. *Journal of Information and Organizational Sciences* 45, 601–638. 10.31341/jios.45.2.14.
- [93] Qiu, R. (2022). Editorial: Embracing the imperative digital transformation! *Digital Transformation and Society* 1, 2–3. 10.1108/DTS-09-2022-019.
- [94] Qanazi, S., Leclerc, E., and Bosredon, P. (2025). Integrating Social Dimensions into Urban Digital Twins: A Review and Proposed Framework for Social Digital Twins. *Smart Cities* 8. 10.3390/smartcities8010023.
- [95] Ayuni, R.F. (2020). Bringing Virtual Communities into a Marketing Strategy to Create Purchase Intentions in the Social Media Era. *Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business* 35, 112–128. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jieb.53261>.
- [96] Nooshin, L. (2018). “Our angel of salvation”: Toward an understanding of Iranian cyberspace as an alternative sphere of musical sociality. *Ethnomusicology* 62, 341–374. 10.5406/ethnomusicology.62.3.0341.
- [97] Kong, L. (2001). Religion and Technology: Refiguring Place, Space, Identity and Community. *Area* 33, 404–413. 10.1111/1475-4762.00046/abstract.
- [98] Hamurcu, A.U. (2022). The metaverse, online communities, and (real) urban space. *Urbani Izziv* 33, 73–81. 10.5379/urbani-izziv-en-2022-33-02-01.
- [99] Julien, C. (2015). Bourdieu, Social Capital and Online Interaction. *Sociology* 49, 356–373. 10.1177/0038038514535862.
- [100] Zainuri, A. (2021). The Social Relation of Muslims and Christians in Sidorejo Village, Umbulsari District, Jember Regency. *Dialog* 44, 245–264. <https://doi.org/10.47655/dialog.v44i2.457>.
- [101] Ye, X., and Liu, X. (2018). Integrating social networks and spatial analyses of the built environment. *Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science* 45, 395–399. 10.1177/2399808318772381.
- [102] Nowland, R., Necka, E.A., and Cacioppo, J.T. (2018). Loneliness and Social Internet Use: Pathways to Reconnection in a Digital World? *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 13, 70–87. 10.1177/1745691617713052.
- [103] Susanta, Y.K., and Upa, F. (2021). FROM DEBATE TO DIALOGUE: AUTHENTIC INTERFAITH FRIENDSHIP FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF CHRISTIAN THEOLOGY. *Dialog* 44, 1–11.
- [104] Khairandy, R., Halim Barkatullah, A., Khoirul Huda, M., and Yusuf Amir, A. (2022). Exploring Social Contracts: Enhancing Cooperation and Collaboration between Businesses and Communities. *Journal of Human, Earth, and Future* 3, 452–460. <https://doi.org/10.28991/HEF-2022-03-04-005>.
- [105] Firmonasari, A., Udasmoro, W., and Salzano, R. (2021). Hybrid perspectives: Muslim and secular discourses in French politics. *Indonesian Journal of Islam and Muslim Societies* 11, 299–325. 10.18326/ijims.v11i2.299-325.
- [106] Bahagia, B., Hidayana, B., Wibowo, R., and Anna, Z. (2020). Local Wisdom to Overcome COVID-19 Pandemic of Urug and Cipatat Kolot Societies in Bogor, West Java, Indonesia. *Forum Geografi* 34, 146–160. 10.23917/forgeo.v34i2.12366.
- [107] McElwee, P., Fernández-Llamazares, Á., Aumeeruddy-Thomas, Y., Babai, D., Bates, P., Galvin, K., Guèze, M., Liu, J., Molnár, Z., Ngo, H.T., et al. (2020). Working with Indigenous and local knowledge (ILK) in large-scale ecological assessments: Reviewing the experience of the IPBES Global Assessment. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 57, 1666–1676. 10.1111/1365-2664.13705.
- [108] Abas, A., Aziz, A., and Awang, A. (2022). A Systematic Review on the Local Wisdom of Indigenous People in Nature Conservation. *Sustainability* 14, 1–16. 10.3390/su14063415.
- [109] Yu, R., and Mu, Q. (2023). Integration of Indigenous and Local Knowledge in Policy and Practice of Nature-Based Solutions in China: Progress and Highlights. *Sustainability* 15, 1–20. 10.3390/su151411104.
- [110] Latip, A., Hernani, and Kadarohman, A. (2024). Local and indigenous knowledge (LIK) in science learning: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Turkish Science Education* 21, 651–667. 10.36681/tused.2024.035.
- [111] Rivers, N., Strand, M., Fernandes, M., Metuge, D., Lemahieu, A., Nonyane, C.L., Benkenstein, A., and Snow, B. (2023). Pathways to integrate Indigenous and local knowledge in ocean governance processes: Lessons from the Algoa Bay Project, South Africa. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 9, 1–17. 10.3389/fmars.2022.1084674.
- [112] Burke, L., Díaz-Reviriego, I., Lam, D.P.M., and Hanspach, J. (2023). Indigenous and local knowledge in biocultural approaches to sustainability: a review of the literature in Spanish. *Ecosystems and People* 19, 1–15. 10.1080/26395916.2022.2157490.
- [113] Root-Bernstein, M., du Plessis, P., Guerrero-Gatica, M., Narayan, T., Roturier, S., and Wheeler, H.C. (2023). What Are ILK in Relation to Science? Using the ‘Ethic of Equivocation’ to Co-Produce New Knowledge for Conservation. *Sustainability* 15, 1–14. 10.3390/su15031831.
- [114] Pardede, E.L., McCann, P., and Venhorst, V.A. (2020). Internal migration in Indonesia: new insights from longitudinal data. *Asian Population Studies* 16, 287–309. 10.1080/17441730.2020.1774139.

- [115] Lam, T., Ee, M., Lan Anh, H., and Yeoh, B.S. (2013). Securing a Better Living Environment for Left-Behind Children: Implications and Challenges for Policies. *Asian and Pacific Migration Journal* 22, 421–446. <https://doi.org/10.1177/011719681302200306>.
- [116] Nguyen, M.T.N., and Locke, C. (2014). Rural-urban migration in Vietnam and China: gendered householding, production of space and the state. *Journal of Peasant Studies* 41, 855–876. 10.1080/03066150.2014.925884.
- [117] Lu, Y. (2013). Household migration, remittances and their impact on health in Indonesia. *International Migration* 51, 1–15. 10.1111/j.1468-2435.2012.00761.x.
- [118] Gheasi, M., Nijkamp, P., and Rietveld, P. (2011). Migrants and International Economic Linkages: A Meta-Overview. *Spatial Economic Analysis* 6, 359–376. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17421772.2011.610814>.
- [119] Collinson, M.A. (2010). Striving against adversity: the dynamics of migration, health and poverty in rural South Africa. *Global Health Action* 3, 5080. 10.3402/gha.v3i0.5080.
- [120] Maas, M. (2005). Transnational Entrepreneurship: Exploring Determinants and Impacts of a Dutch-based Filipino Immigrant Business. *Asian and Pacific Migration Journal* 14, 169–192. <https://doi.org/10.1177/011719680501400109>.
- [121] Hasan, M.A., Shahid, S., Sultana, M., and Siddiqui, T. (2023). Rural Entrepreneurship as a Sustainable Livelihood Alternative for the Returnee Migrants: Reviewing the Potentials and Challenges. *Journal of Small Business Strategy* 33, 20–35. 10.53703/001c.57750.
- [122] Standing, G. (2014). *The Precariat: The New Dangerous Class*. London, New Delhi, New York, Sydney: Bloomsbury.
- [123] Susskind, D. (2021). *A World Without Work: Technology, Automation and How We Should Respond*. Penguin Books.
- [124] Castles, S., Miller, M.J., and Ammendola, G. (2005). The Age of Migration: International Population Movements in the Modern World. *American Foreign Policy Interests* 27, 537–542. 10.1080/10803920500434037.
- [125] Hampton, K.N. (2016). Persistent and Pervasive Community: New Communication Technologies and the Future of Community. *American Behavioral Scientist* 60, 101–124. 10.1177/0002764215601714.
- [126] Raine, L., and Wellman, B. (2013). *Networked: The New Social Operating System*. 2nd ed. *International Journal of E-Politics*. 10.1016/S0736-5853(02)00019-9.
- [127] Berkes, F. (2018). *Sacred Ecology*. 4th ed. New York: Taylor & Francis.
- [1] Huntington, H.P., Begossi, A., Gearheard, S.F., Kersey, B., Loring, P.A., Mustonen, T., Paudel, P.K., Silvano, R.A.M., Vave, R., Huntington, H.P., et al. (2017). 小型社区如何应对环境变化：从热带到极地生态系统的模式. *Ecology and Society* 22, 9. <https://doi.org/10.5751/es-09171-220309>.
- [2] Abd Rahman, R., Jubba, H., Abdullah, I., Supardin, Hasan, H., Tangngareng, T., and Yusuf, M. (2023). 经济实践中的地方智慧：对布吉人和望加锡人 tesang 传统的赋权伊斯兰法分析. *Cogent Social Sciences* 9. 10.1080/23311886.2023.2194112.
- [3] Nursanty, E., and Wulandari, A. (2023). 揭示伊斯兰价值观的真实性：传统村落的演变与转型. *Journal of Islamic Architecture* 7, 595–612. 10.18860/jia.v7i4.21258.
- [4] Ngo, L.-M., and Anh, T.D. (2021). 城市边缘传统手工艺村的新发展模式：越南胡志明市案例研究. *Journal of Regional and City Planning* 32, 99–109. 10.5614/JPWK.2021.32.2.1.
- [5] Chernyak-Hai, L., and Rabenu, E. (2018). 工作场所关系的新时代：社会交换理论仍然适用吗？ *Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 11, 456–481. 10.1017/iop.2018.5.
- [6] Lam, D.P.M., Hinz, E., Lang, D.J., Tengo, M., von Wehrden, H., and Martín-Lopez, B. (2020). 可持续性转型研究中的原住民与地方知识：文献综述. *Ecology and Society* 25. 10.5751/ES-11305-250103.
- [7] Yoo, I., and Yi, C.G. (2022). 数字化转型引发的经济创新及其对社会系统的影响. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 14, 1–18. 10.3390/su14052600.
- [8] Brandl, J., and Zielinska, I. (2020). 评述维也纳智慧城市框架战略在工作质量与社会生态转型背景下作为生态社会政策的潜力. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 12, 1–17. 10.3390/su12030859.
- [9] Pertiwi, A., Mursitama, T.N., Beng, J.T., and Elidjen (2024). 欠发达的社会整合机制作为二维吸收能力关系的调节因素：系统性文献综述. *SAGE Open* 14. 10.1177/21582440231203904.
- [10] Zainuri, A. (2020). 伊斯兰与地方文化在爪哇古清真寺建筑中的融合：概述. *Heritage: Journal of Social Studies* 2, 125–144. <https://doi.org/10.35719/hrtg.v2i2.58>.
- [11] Fauzi, A. (2022). 2012年第39号部长条例关于巴

## 参考文献:

- 厘省政府工作文化发展指南的实施. *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Ilmu Politik* 4, 802–824. 10.56552/jisipol.v4i1.86.
- [12] Martini, and Lubis, E. (2021). 土地经营权用于旅游开发以促进增长的利用. *Veritas* 8, 24–36.
- [13] Asri, K.H., and Ilyas, A. (2022). 强化清真价值链生态系统：迈向5.0时代的清真产业发展. *ALIF: Sharia Economics Journal* 1, 37–47. 10.37010/alif.v1i1.712.
- [14] Cheba, K., Bąk, I., and Pietrzak, M.B. (2023). 绿色转型的条件：以欧盟为例. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy* 29, 438–467. 10.3846/tede.2022.17993.
- [15] Garip, F. (2008). 社会资本与迁移：为何相似资源会导致不同结果？ *Demography* 45, 591–617. <https://doi.org/10.1353/dem.0.0016>.
- [16] Lee, Y., Rianti, I.P., and Park, M.S. (2017). 印尼社区森林管理中的社会资本测量. *Forest Science and Technology* 13, 133–141. 10.1080/21580103.2017.1355335.
- [17] Puspita, N.T., Qurniati, R., and Febryano, I.G. (2020). Batutege 森林管理单元社区森林管理的社会资本. *Jurnal Sylva Lestari* 8, 54–64. <https://doi.org/10.23960/jsl1854-64>.
- [18] Schmidt, M., and Sagynbekova, L. (2008). 过去与现在的迁移：吉尔吉斯斯坦变化中的模式. *Central Asian Survey* 27, 111–127. 10.1080/02634930802355030.
- [19] Syafitri, W. (2013). 劳动力迁移决策的决定因素：以印度尼西亚东爪哇为例. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies* 49, 385–386. 10.1080/00074918.2013.850638.
- [20] Jalaluddin, and Suriadi, I. (2019). 人口动态及其对环境变化的影响（以东龙目县 Labuan Haji 区 Ijobalit 浮石开采为例）. *Ekonobis* 5, 64–96. <https://doi.org/10.29303/ekonobis.v5i2.45>.
- [21] Azzahra, S.N., Jannah, M., Adelia, Y., Oktaviani, I., Pratiwi, W., Fatihah, Azkia, Q.K., and Agustina, M. (2024). 基于现金瓦克夫的创业与经济赋权项目概念. *Journal Of Economis and Business* 2, 209–216.
- [22] Kwilinski, A., Lyulyov, O., Pimonenko, T., Dzwigol, H., Abazov, R., and Pudryk, D. (2022). 国际移民驱动因素：经济、环境、社会与政治效应. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 14, 1–17. 10.3390/su14116413.
- [23] Frank, M.R., Autor, D., Bessen, J.E., Brynjolfsson, E., Cebrian, M., Deming, D.J., Feldman, M., Groh, M., Lobo, J., Moro, E., et al. (2019). 迈向理解人工智能对劳动影响的研究. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 116, 6531–6539. 10.1073/pnas.1900949116.
- [24] Scully-Russ, E., and Torraco, R. (2020). 工作性质与组织方式的变化：综合性文献综述. *Human Resource Development Review* 19, 66–93. 10.1177/1534484319886394.
- [25] Borzaga, C., Salvatori, G., and Bodini, R. (2019). 社会与团结经济与工作的未来. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation in Emerging Economies* 5, 37–57. 10.1177/2393957518815300.
- [26] Taylor, A. (2012). 不止于流动：变化技术对澳大利亚北领地偏远原住民社区迁移与流动性的影响. *Mobilities* 7, 269–294. 10.1080/17450101.2012.654997.
- [27] Ignatieva, I., Saraeva, O., Zedgenizova, I., and Zvezdina, A. (2023). 数字经济对就业与劳动力市场转型的影响：主要趋势. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies* 6, 507–513. 10.53894/ijirss.v6i3.1554.
- [28] Kalleberg, A.L., and Hewison, K. (2013). 不稳定工作与亚洲面临的挑战. *American Behavioral Scientist* 57, 271–288. 10.1177/0002764212466238.
- [29] Mochlasin, and Budiharjo (2024). 伊斯兰工作伦理、地方智慧与资本主义精神：来自 Minangkabau 移民的见解. *Indonesian Journal of Islam and Muslim Societies* 14, 289–318. <https://doi.org/10.18326/ijims.v14i2>.
- [30] Setiowati, D., Utomo, W.B., and Agustina, M. (2023). 在印尼护理课程中整合内容以塑造伊斯兰护士品格：描述性质性研究. *Healthc Low Resour Settings* 11, 10.4081/hls.2023.11739.
- [31] Rerung, A.E. (2023). Pangngan 传统作为托拉查基于地方智慧的宗教温和化手段. *Dialog* 46, 145–156. 10.47655/dialog.v46i2.870.
- [32] Adger, W.N., Arnell, N.W., Black, R., Dercon, S., Geddes, A., and Thomas, D.S.G. (2015). 聚焦环境风险与迁移：成因与后果. *Environmental Research Letters* 10, 1–6. 10.1088/1748-9326/10/6/060201.
- [33] Hunter, L.M., Luna, J.K., and Norton, R.M. (2015). 迁移的环境维度. *Annual Review of Sociology* 41, 377–397. 10.1146/annurev-soc-073014-112223.
- [34] Curran, S.R., Shafer, S., and Donato, K.M. (2006). 社

会学研究中的性别与迁移图谱：是分隔还是整合？  
*International Migration Review* 40, 199–223.  
10.1111/j.1747-7379.2006.00008.x.

[35] Piper, N., and Lee, S. (2016). 亚洲的婚姻迁移、移民脆弱性与社会再生产：概述. *Critical Asian Studies* 48, 473–493. 10.1080/14672715.2016.1226598.

[36] Favell, A. (2008). 欧洲东西向迁移的新面貌. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 34, 701–716. 10.1080/13691830802105947.

[37] Thieme, S., and Siegmann, K.A. (2010). 女性肩上的生存应对：从性别视角看社会资本与脆弱性的联系. *Current Sociology* 58, 715–737. 10.1177/0011392110372732.

[38] Sánchez-García, P.A., Jónás, K., Pellowe, K.E., Ekström, H., Scheuermann, M., and Loft, L. (2025). 迈向社会—生态转型中的交叉性公平路径. *Global Sustainability* 8, 1–14. 10.1017/sus.2025.2.

[39] Sykora L, B. (2012). 多重转型：后共产主义城市转型的概念化. *Urban Studies* 49, 1–57.

[40] Lorek, S., Kreinin, H., Hayden, A., and Lage, J. (2022). 充足性与转型——关于不同充足性概念中社会变迁观念的半系统性文献综述. *Frontiers in Sustainability* 3, 01–21. 10.3389/frsus.2022.954660.

[41] Sari, M.W., Herianto, Dharma, I.G.B.B., and Tontowi, A.E. (2023). 集成生产系统中的社会制造：系统性文献综述. *Management Systems in Production Engineering* 31, 18–26. 10.2478/mspe-2023-0003.

[42] Anderson, C.R., Bruil, J., Chappell, M.J., Kiss, C., and Pimbert, M.P. (2019). 从转型到变革领域：通过农业生态学实现可持续且公正的粮食系统. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 11, 1–28. 10.3390/su11195272.

[43] Hilbert, M. (2020). 数字技术与社会变迁：从历史视角看社会的数字化转型. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 22, 189–194. 10.31887/dcns.2020.22.2/mhilbert.

[44] Khin Khin Oo, N.C., and Rakthin, S. (2022). 吸收能力在促进组织韧性中的作用及研究议程：综合性综述. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 14, 1–27. 10.3390/su141912570.

[45] Rozak, H., Taswan, T., Mansyur, A., and Fachrunnisa, O. (2024). 强化创新行为：支持性氛围与吸收能力的作用. *Business: Theory and Practice* 25, 350–361. 10.3846/btp.2024.20095.

[46] Easterby-Smith, M., Graça, M., Antonacopoulou, E.,

and Ferdinand, J. (2008). 吸收能力：过程视角. *Management Learning* 39, 483–501. 10.1177/1350507608096037.

[47] Romero-Rodríguez, J.M., Ramírez-Montoya, M.S., Aznar-Díaz, I., and Hinojo-Lucena, F.J. (2020). 知识的社会占有作为地方发展与开放创新关键因素：系统性综述. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity* 6, 1–13. 10.3390/JOITMC6020044.

[48] Gunawan, H., Yeny, I., Karlina, E., Suharti, S., Murniati, Subarudi, Mulyanto, B., Ekawati, S., Garsetiasih, R., Pratiwi, et al. (2022). 在印度尼西亚整合社会林业与生物多样性保护. *Forests* 13, 1–27. 10.3390/f13122152.

[49] Evans, J.M., Grudniewicz, A., Baker, G.R., and Wodchis, W.P. (2016). 整合照护的组织情境与能力：改进框架. *International Journal of Integrated Care* 16, 1–14. 10.5334/ijic.2416.

[50] Oliveira, T.A., Oliver, M., and Ramalhinho, H. (2020). 连接公民与智慧城市的挑战：ICT、电子治理与区块链. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 12, 1–21. 10.3390/su12072926.

[51] Parker, C., Y.S., Jenek, W., and Lee, Y. (2021). 增强空间：沉浸式技术在未来城市中的作用. *Interaction Design and Architecture(S)* 48, 5–7. <https://doi.org/10.55612/s-5002-048-001psi>.

[52] Hatimah, H., Sukoharsono, E.G., Djamhuri, A., and Adib, N. (2024). 探索瓦克夫管理转型：机遇与挑战（以 Pontianak 的 Ismuhu Yahya Foundation 为案例）. *Journal of Ecohumanism* 3, 58–68. 10.62754/joe.v3i8.4712.

[53] Luque-Ayala, A., and Marvin, S. (2015). 对智慧城市主义的批判性理解之发展. *Handbook of Urban Geography*, 210–224. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781785364600.00024>.

[54] Hassankhani, M., Alidadi, M., Sharifi, A., and Azhdari, A. (2021). 智慧城市与危机管理：COVID-19 大流行的经验教训. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 18, 1–18. 10.3390/ijerph18157736.

[55] Romeo, A. (2024). 数字时代的新互动形式：电话的使用. *Soc Sci* 13, 1–9. 10.3390/socsci13030153.

[56] Sousa, M.J. (2022). 适用于绿色城市的数字技术与公共政策. *Land (Basel)* 11, 1–18. 10.3390/land11112097.

[57] De Siqueira, G., Malaj, S., and Hamdani, M. (2022).

- 数字化、参与和互动：迈向城市设计中更具包容性的工具——文献综述. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 14, 1–13. 10.3390/su14084514.
- [58] Das, D.K. (2024). 探索数字化转型、基础设施、服务供给与治理在智慧可持续城市中的共生关系. *Smart Cities* 7, 806–835. 10.3390/smartcities7020034.
- [59] Winkowska, J., Szpilko, D., and Pejic, S. (2019). 文献综述视角下的智慧城市概念. *Engineering Management in Production and Services* 11, 70–86. 10.2478/emj-2019-0012.
- [60] Anthony Jnr, B. (2021). 通过企业架构管理智慧城市数字化转型——综述与研究议程. *Enterp Inf Syst* 15, 299–331. 10.1080/17517575.2020.1812006.
- [61] Young, R.F., and Lieberknecht, K. (2019). 从智慧城市到睿智城市：生态智慧作为可持续城市发展的基础. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management* 62, 1675–1692. 10.1080/09640568.2018.1484343.
- [62] Ariyaningsih, and Shaw, R. (2022). 将 SETS（社会—生态—技术系统）框架与洪水韧性循环整合用于智慧洪水风险管理. *Smart Cities* 5, 1312–1335. 10.3390/smartcities5040067.
- [63] Gracias, J.S., Parnell, G.S., Specking, E., Pohl, E.A., and Buchanan, R. (2023). 智慧城市——结构化文献综述. *Smart Cities* 6, 1719–1743. 10.3390/smartcities6040080.
- [64] Williams, P.A., Sikutshwa, L., and Shackleton, S. (2020). 承认原住民与地方知识以促进景观方法中的合作：来自系统性综述的经验. *Land (Basel)* 9, 1–17. 10.3390/LAND9090331.
- [65] Aswani, S., Lemahieu, A., and Sauer, W.H.H. (2018). 地方生态知识的全球趋势及未来影响. *PLoS One* 13. 10.1371/journal.pone.0195440.
- [66] Kirby, A. (2020). 在气候变化时代重构强有力知识. *Revista Produção e Desenvolvimento* 6, 1–13. 10.32358/rpd.2020.v6.427.
- [67] Reyes-García, V., Fernández-Llamazares, Á., McElwee, P., Molnár, Z., Öllerer, K., Wilson, S.J., and Brondizio, E.S. (2019). 原住民与地方社区对生态修复的贡献. *Restoration Ecology* 27, 3–8. 10.1111/rec.12894.
- [68] Sapkota, K.P., Palamanit, A., Techato, K., Gyawali, S., Ghimire, H.P., and Khatiwada, B. (2024). 地方社区在促进可持续社区旅游中的作用. *J. Electrical Systems* 20, 558–571.
- [69] Dodds, R., Ali, A., and Galaski, K. (2018). 动员知识：发展社区旅游成功的关键要素与陷阱. *Current Issues in Tourism* 21, 1547–1568. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2016.1150257>.
- [70] Azzahra, F., Firdauz, M.H.A., Nasrullah, and Kurnia, S. (2023). 文献研究：“从印尼国家伊斯兰教法委员会第43/DSN-MUI/VLII/2004号关于赔偿（TA'WIDH）的教令视角看赔偿实践的适用”. *ACCRUAL: Accounting Research Journal* 2, 43–59.
- [71] Syarifuddin, F. (2024). 通过整合伊斯兰社会金融与商业金融的生产性瓦克夫商业模式. *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology* 8, 620–655. 10.55214/25768484.v8i4.1440.
- [72] Putri, R.N., Febrian, A., Wasmiyani, Amri, Damayanti, K., and Martanti (2024). 商业伦理在天课与瓦克夫管理中的作用. *Journal Of Economis and Business* 2, 11–20.
- [73] Ramli, A., Fahmi, F., Darus, F., and Ismail, N. (2018). 可持续绩效的主要驱动因素：企业瓦克夫案例. *Global Journal Al-Thaqafah*, 207–218. 10.7187/GJATSI2018-14.
- [74] Azizah, S.N., Salam, A.N., and Arifin, A.Z. (2023). 社会创业模式设计：通过天课机构推动中小微企业的清真基础发展. *ISRA International Journal of Islamic Finance (IJIF)* 46, 2289–4365. 10.55188/ijif.v.
- [75] Ma'arif, A.A., Alam, U., Arsyad, A., Pamungkas, C.A., Setiawan, D., Hidayat, R., Aditya, I., and History, A. (2024). 伊斯兰经济原则在家庭财务管理中的实施. *Journal Of Economis and Business* 2, 34–42.
- [76] Kaine, S., and Josserand, E. (2019). 零工经济中的工作组织与工作体验. *Journal of Industrial Relations* 61, 479–501. 10.1177/0022185619865480.
- [77] Kamilah, K., and Nasution, Y.S.J. (2024). 面对全球竞争的数字化优化：伊斯兰会计案例. *Qubahan Academic Journal* 4, 14–22. 10.48161/qaj.v4n2a232.
- [78] Astina, I.K., Sumarmi, Felicia, M.Y., and Kurniawati, E. (2021). 腾格尔族传统仪式作为印度尼西亚可持续旅游对象. *Geojournal of Tourism and Geosites* 39, 1371–1378. 10.30892/gtg.394spl07-780.
- [79] Susanto, Y.K., Rudyanto, A., and Rahayuningsih, D.A. (2022). 重新定义基于地方智慧的企业社会责任概念及其实践. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 14, 1–23.

- 10.3390/su141912069.
- [80] Marlina, Sumarmi, and Astina, I.K. (2020). 可持续海洋生态旅游管理：以 Wakatobi 国家公园 Bajo Mola 社区基于地方智慧的海洋资源保护为例. *GeoJournal of Tourism and Geosites* 32, 1317–1323. 10.30892/gtg.32419-575.
- [81] Samuel, H., Mangoting, Y., and Hatane, S.E. (2022). 印尼传统织物可持续性中的质量诠释. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 14, 1–17. 10.3390/su141811344.
- [82] Landsbergis, P.A., Grzywacz, J.G., and Lamontagne, A.D. (2014). 工作组织、工作不安全感与职业健康差异. *Am J Ind Med* 57, 495–515. 10.1002/ajim.22126.
- [83] Aloisi, A. (2022). 欧洲的平台工作：经验教训、法律发展与未来挑战. *European Labour Law Journal* 13, 4–29. 10.1177/20319525211062557.
- [84] Koutroukis, T., Chatzinikolaou, D., Vlahos, C., and Pistikou, V. (2022). 后疫情时代、第四次工业革命与新全球化：劳动关系重构与组织适应. *Societies* 12, 1–17. 10.3390/soc12060187.
- [85] Cieslik, K., Banya, R., and Vira, B. (2022). 在线工作的线下情境：拉各斯的平台司机、体面劳动与非正规性. *Development Policy Review* 40, 1–19. 10.1111/dpr.12595.
- [86] Ulyssea, G. (2020). 非正规性：发展中的成因与后果. *Annu Rev Econom* 12, 525–546. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-economics-082119-121914>.
- [87] Benach, J., Vives, A., Amable, M., Vanroelen, C., Tarafa, G., and Muntaner, C. (2014). 不稳定就业：理解一种新兴的健康社会决定因素. In *Annual Review of Public Health* (Annual Reviews Inc.), pp. 229–253. 10.1146/annurev-publhealth-032013-182500.
- [88] Tokman, V.E. (2001). 正规与非正规部门之间的关系：对其性质的探讨. *Economia* 24, 17–73. <https://doi.org/10.18800/economia.200102.001>.
- [89] Allam, Z., Sharifi, A., Bibri, S.E., Jones, D.S., and Krogstie, J. (2022). 元宇宙作为智慧城市的虚拟形态：城市未来中环境、经济与社会可持续性的机遇与挑战. *Smart Cities* 5, 771–801. 10.3390/smartcities5030040.
- [90] Farliana, N., Murniawaty, I., and Hardianto, H. (2023). 印度尼西亚数字经济的可持续性：机遇、挑战与未来发展. *Review of Business and Economics Studies* 11, 21–28. 10.26794/2308-944X-2023-11-4-21-28.
- [91] Latupeirissa, J.J.P., Dewi, N.L.Y., Prayana, I.K.R., Srihandi, M.B., Ramadiansyah, S.A., and Pramana, I.B.G.A.Y. (2024). 公共服务供给转型：数字化举措的综合评述. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 16, 1–23. 10.3390/su16072818.
- [92] Zdjelar, R., and Hrustek, N.Ž. (2021). 数字鸿沟与电子包容：信息社会的挑战——研究综述. *Journal of Information and Organizational Sciences* 45, 601–638. 10.31341/jios.45.2.14.
- [93] Qiu, R. (2022). 社论：拥抱势在必行的数字化转型！ *Digital Transformation and Society* 1, 2–3. 10.1108/DTS-09-2022-019.
- [94] Qanazi, S., Leclerc, E., and Bosredon, P. (2025). 将社会维度整合进城市数字孪生：社会数字孪生的综述与框架建议. *Smart Cities* 8. 10.3390/smartcities8010023.
- [95] Ayuni, R.F. (2020). 在社交媒体时代将虚拟社群纳入营销战略以创造购买意图. *Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business* 35, 112–128. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jieb.53261>.
- [96] Nooshin, L. (2018). “我们的救赎天使”：理解伊朗网络空间作为音乐社会性的替代领域. *Ethnomusicology* 62, 341–374. 10.5406/ethnomusicology.62.3.0341.
- [97] Kong, L. (2001). 宗教与技术：重新塑造地方、空间、身份与共同体. *Area* 33, 404–413. 10.1111/1475-4762.00046/abstract.
- [98] Hamurcu, A.U. (2022). 元宇宙、在线社区与（真实）城市空间. *Urbani Izziv* 33, 73–81. 10.5379/urbani-izziv-en-2022-33-02-01.
- [99] Julien, C. (2015). 布迪厄、社会资本与在线互动. *Sociology* 49, 356–373. 10.1177/0038038514535862.
- [100] Zainuri, A. (2021). Jember Regency Umbulsari District Sidorejo 村穆斯林与基督徒的社会关系. *Dialog* 44, 245–264. <https://doi.org/10.47655/dialog.v44i2.457>.
- [101] Ye, X., and Liu, X. (2018). 建成环境中社会网络与空间分析的整合. *Environ Plan B Urban Anal City Sci* 45, 395–399. 10.1177/2399808318772381.
- [102] Nowland, R., Necka, E.A., and Cacioppo, J.T. (2018). 孤独与社会性互联网使用：在数字世界中重新连接的路径？ *Perspectives on Psychological Science*

- 13, 70–87. 10.1177/1745691617713052.
- [103] Susanta, Y.K., and Upa, F. (2021). 从辩论到对话：基督教神学视角下真实的跨宗教友谊. *Dialog* 44, 1–11.
- [104] Khairandy, R., Halim Barkatullah, A., Khoirul Huda, M., and Yusuf Amir, A. (2022). 探索社会契约：增强企业与社区之间的合作与协作. *Journal of Human, Earth, and Future* 3, 452–460. <https://doi.org/10.28991/HEF-2022-03-04-005>.
- [105] Firmonasari, A., Udasmoro, W., and Salzano, R. (2021). 混合视角：法国政治中的穆斯林与世俗话语. *Indonesian Journal of Islam and Muslim Societies* 11, 299–325. 10.18326/ijims.v11i2.299-325.
- [106] Bahagia, B., Hidayana, B., Wibowo, R., and Anna, Z. (2020). 以地方智慧应对 COVID-19 疫情：印度尼西亚西爪哇 Bogor 的 Urug 与 Cipatat Kolot 社会案例. *Forum Geografi* 34, 146–160. 10.23917/forgeo.v34i2.12366.
- [107] McElwee, P., Fernández-Llamazares, Á., Aumeeruddy-Thomas, Y., Babai, D., Bates, P., Galvin, K., Guèze, M., Liu, J., Molnár, Z., Ngo, H.T., et al. (2020). 在大尺度生态评估中运用原住民和地方知识 (ILK)：对 IPBES 全球评估经验的回顾. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 57, 1666–1676. 10.1111/1365-2664.13705.
- [108] Abas, A., Aziz, A., and Awang, A. (2022). 关于原住民在自然保护中地方智慧的系统性综述. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 14, 1–16. 10.3390/su14063415.
- [109] Yu, R., and Mu, Q. (2023). 中国基于自然的解决方案政策与实践中原住民和地方知识的整合：进展与亮点. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 15, 1–20. 10.3390/su151411104.
- [110] Latip, A., Hernani, and Kadarohman, A. (2024). 科学学习中的地方与原住民知识 (LIK)：系统性文献综述. *Journal of Turkish Science Education* 21, 651–667. 10.36681/tused.2024.035.
- [111] Rivers, N., Strand, M., Fernandes, M., Metuge, D., Lemahieu, A., Nonyane, C.L., Benkenstein, A., and Snow, B. (2023). 将原住民和地方知识融入海洋治理过程的路径：来自南非 Algoa Bay 项目的经验. *Front Mar Sci* 9, 1–17. 10.3389/fmars.2022.1084674.
- [112] Burke, L., Díaz-Reviriego, I., Lam, D.P.M., and Hanspach, J. (2023). 可持续性生物文化路径中的原住民和地方知识：西班牙语文献综述. *Ecosystems and People* 19, 1–15. 10.1080/26395916.2022.2157490.
- [113] Root-Bernstein, M., du Plessis, P., Guerrero-Gatica, M., Narayan, T., Roturier, S., and Wheeler, H.C. (2023). 相对于科学，ILK 是什么？运用“等值伦理”共同生产保护新知识. *Sustainability (Switzerland)* 15, 1–14. 10.3390/su15031831.
- [114] Pardede, E.L., McCann, P., and Venhorst, V.A. (2020). 印度尼西亚国内迁移：来自纵向数据的新见解. *Asian Popul Stud* 16, 287–309. 10.1080/17441730.2020.1774139.
- [115] Lam, T., Ee, M., Lan Anh, H., and Yeoh, B.S. (2013). 为留守儿童创造更好的生活环境：对政策的启示与挑战. *Asian Pac Migr J* 22, 421–446. <https://doi.org/10.1177/011719681302200306>.
- [116] Nguyen, M.T.N., and Locke, C. (2014). 越南与中国的城乡迁移：性别化家庭组织、空间生产与国家. *Journal of Peasant Studies* 41, 855–876. 10.1080/03066150.2014.925884.
- [117] Lu, Y. (2013). 家庭迁移、汇款及其对印度尼西亚健康的影响. *International Migration* 51, 1–15. 10.1111/j.1468-2435.2012.00761.x.
- [118] Gheasi, M., Nijkamp, P., and Rietveld, P. (2011). 移民与国际经济联系：元综述. *Spat Econ Anal* 6, 359–376. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17421772.2011.610814>.
- [119] Collinson, M.A. (2010). 在逆境中挣扎：南非农村地区迁移、健康与贫困的动态. *Glob Health Action* 3, 5080. 10.3402/gha.v3i0.5080.
- [120] Maas, M. (2005). 跨国创业：探讨以荷兰为基地的菲律宾移民企业的决定因素与影响. *Asian and Pacific Migration Journal* 14, 169–192. <https://doi.org/10.1177/011719680501400109>.
- [121] Hasan, M.A., Shahid, S., Sultana, M., and Siddiqui, T. (2023). 农村创业作为返乡移民的可持续生计替代方案：潜力与挑战回顾. *Journal of Small Business Strategy* 33, 20–35. 10.53703/001c.57750.
- [122] Standing, G. (2014). *不稳定无产者：新的危险阶级* (London, New Delhi, New York, Sydney: Bloomsbury).
- [123] Susskind, Daniel. (2021). *没有工作的世界：技术、自动化以及我们的应对之道* (Penguin Books).
- [124] Castles, S., Miller, M.J., and Ammendola, G. (2005). 迁移时代：现代世界中的国际人口流动. *American Foreign Policy Interests* 27, 537–542. 10.1080/10803920500434037.

- [125] Hampton, K.N. (2016). 持久而无处不在的共同体：新通信技术与共同体的未来. *American Behavioral Scientist* 60, 101–124. 10.1177/0002764215601714.
- [126] Raine, L., and Wellman, B. (2013). 网络化：新的社会操作系统第2版. *International Journal of E-Politics* 10.1016/S0736-5853(02)00019-9.
- [127] Berkes, F. (2018). 神圣生态学（第4版）(New York: Taylor & Francis).

### Manuscript Information

Word count: 15,217 words (excluding references).

### Peer-Review Record

Fast-track status: Not fast-tracked.

First-round reviews received: 3 reports.

Revision cycles completed: 3 rounds.

Final version submitted: February 17, 2026

### Disclaimer / Publisher's Note

The statements, opinions, and data contained in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the *Journal of Hunan University (Natural Sciences)* or its editorial team. The journal and its editors disclaim any responsibility for injury to persons or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content of this article.