



Effect of Freeze-Drying of Prickly Pear (*Opuntia Ficus-Indica*) on Flavonoids and Antioxidants

Iyad A. M. Alzaeem^{1*}, Khadir E. K. Abdelhakam²

¹ Medical Science Department, University College of Science & Technology, Gaza, Palestine

² Faculty of Agriculture, Omdurman Islamic University, Sudan

* Corresponding author: i.zaeem@ucst.edu.ps

Received: December 17, 2022 ▪ Review: January 10, 2023 ▪ Accepted: February 9, 2023 ▪ Published: February 27, 2023

Abstract: Prickly pear fruits (PPF) play a high-quality role in health due to its rich content of antioxidant and phenolic materials. This research is a cross sectional descriptive study, the efficacy of the drying method on the chemical attributes of PPF pulp on quality and safety during the storage period. The sample was divided into control and treatment by drying (oven-drying (OD) and freeze-drying (FD)). The flavonoid concentration in the pulp methanolic extracts was measured using spectrophotometry; the diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) capacity was assessed using an adapted DPPH free radical-scavenging method, values of total phenolic content (TPC) and total flavonoid content (TFC) of fresh and dried PPF. The drying method had a significant effect on TFC; values were 39.88 and 18.05 $\mu\text{g/g}$ CE fresh weight (FW) for the OD and FD, respectively. The FD exhibited about 77.56 ± 8.4 for TPC whereas the TFC estimated to 26.8 ± 11.4 mg GAE/100 gm FW. The DPPH for FD samples was significantly higher (249.8 ± 20.6) than that of the OD (75.2 ± 7.2). Mean values of Cupric Ion Antioxidant Reducing Capacity (CUPRAC) were 1005, 973 and 553 μM_{TE} for fresh, FD and OD samples respectively. Meanwhile, the values were in significant differences in comparison between FD and OD of 973.5 ± 87.1 and 553.15 ± 16.6 , respectively. The chemical composition, antioxidant capacity (DPPH, FARAP & CUPRAC) of PPF, and TFC of fruits were changed by drying. FD had the best results for the antioxidant capacity values as were the closest to the values of the fresh sample. Therefore, FD for PPF storage is proven to be recommended.

Keywords: freeze-drying, prickly pear, *Opuntia ficus-indica*, antioxidants.

刺梨(仙人掌)冷冻干燥对类黄酮和抗氧化剂的影响

摘要：仙人掌果(PPF)因其含有丰富的抗氧化物质和酚类物质而发挥着优质的保健作用。

本研究是一项横断面描述性研究，研究了干燥方法对 PPF 纸浆化学属性的影响，以及储存期间的质量和安全性。通过干燥（烘箱干燥（外径）和冷冻干燥（FD））将样品分为对照和处理。使用分光光度法测量果肉甲醇提取物中的类黄酮浓度；使用经过调整的 DPPH 自由基清除方法、新鲜和干燥 PPF 的总酚含量(台积电)和总黄酮含量(三氟化碳)值评估二苯基苦基肼(DPPH)能力。干燥方式对三氟化碳有显著影响；外径和 FD 的 CE 鲜重(固件)值分别为 39.88 和 18.05 微克/克。台积电的 FD 约为 77.56 ± 8.4 ，而三氟化碳估计为 26.8 ± 11.4 毫克通用电气工程师协会/100 克固件。FD 样品的 DPPH (249.8 ± 20.6) 明显高于外径(75.2 ± 7.2)。新

鲜样品、FD 样品和外径样品的铜离子抗氧化还原能力(CUPRAC)的平均值分别为 1005、973 和 553 μ MTE。同时，FD 和外径之间的值分别为 973.5 ± 87.1 和 553.15 ± 16.6 ，具有显著差异。PPF 的化学成分、抗氧化能力 (DPPH、法拉普和 CUPRAC) 和水果的三氟化碳因干燥而发生变化。FD 的抗氧化能力值最好，因为它最接近新鲜样品的值。因此，事实证明，推荐使用用于 PPF 存储的 FD。

关键词：冷冻干燥，刺梨，仙人掌，抗氧化剂。

1. Introduction

PPF harvested from various species of the cactus, genus *Opuntia* of the cactus family Cactaceae, it is rich in nutritive values, including minerals, ascorbic acid, phenolic substances, amino acids, and antioxidants. Additionally, it plays a high-quality role in health due to the richness of high antioxidant activity and phenolic materials [1]. Due to its antioxidant activity, phenol content, and ascorbic acid content, PPF has essential functional effects [2]. Antioxidants in PPF display anti-inflammation, anticancer, hypocholesterolemic, hypoglycemic, and hypolipidemic effects [3]. The antioxidant activity of this fruit was shown to be double that of tomato, apple, pear, and grape. It was also discovered to be comparable to orange, red grape raisin, and grapefruit [4].

The composition of PPF and cladodes depends especially on the species, the horticultural practices, and the level of ripening. Cactus stems, as other vegetables, have low proteins and fats, but the crude fiber is higher than that in most other vegetables [5]. Flavonoids (found in phenolic compounds) are another important constituent in *Opuntia* pulp fruits. Several flavonoids have an antioxidative effect [6]. *Opuntia ficus-indica* (OFI) has traditionally marked the folk medicine, owing to its therapeutic properties due to excess of bioactive molecules, involving organic acids, phenolic acids, flavonoids, betalains, carotenoids, vitamins, biothiols, taurine, saponins, fatty acids, and phytosterols. The content of these bioactive molecules varies within cladodes, fruits or prickly pears, peels, seeds, and flowers [7].

[8] investigated the nutritional composition, anti-nutritional factors, phytochemical and sensory attributes of cactus pear seeds collected from Ethiopia. The sample provided 392.84 kcal/100 g energy on a dry weight basis. The dietary Ca, K, P, Fe, and Zn contents of the sample accounted 390.14 mg, 446.46 mg, 206.18 mg, 4.37 mg, and 2.01 mg per 100 g, respectively. Despite the high phytate content (259.20 mg/100 g), the sample had an appreciable amount of antioxidant capacity (43 to 95% of inhibition). [9] determined the phenolic and flavonoid contents of two *Opuntia* species (OFI and *Opuntia streptacantha* (OS)) and evaluated the antioxidant activity of the fruit pulp.

The study found that OS fruit extract contained more phenolic and flavonoids than OFI. Using the DPPH method, the reducing power, the highest activities were found for extracting OS fruit. The amount of phenolic and flavonoid compounds implied as possible factor that influenced the antioxidant power of the OFI and OS fruit extract. [10] referred to the properties of fruits, great sources of antioxidants (betalains, total phenolic content, vitamin C, and β -carotene). Following the DPPH method, the antioxidant activity was recorded, and the results revealed that OFI has 526.238 mg of AAE/100 g and 513.764 mg of AAE/100 g antioxidant activity for methanol and distilled water, respectively.

This study investigates the content of antioxidant and phenolic compounds in OFI after the FD process.

2. Materials and Methods

The chemical content of PPF, moisture, ash content, and nutritional properties allow evaluating the antioxidant capacity and bioactive contents. The research hypothesis is that freeze- and oven-drying processes affect the PPF chemical properties, phenolic and flavonoid components, and antioxidant capacity.

2.1. Preparation of the Samples

The whole fresh fruits with a maturation level were selected from freshly harvested fruits, manually peeled, and the pulp of the selected fruits was divided into two groups. The pulp of the first group were sliced into 1 and 1.5 cm thickness pieces, placed in aluminum trays (14 cm²), and kept at -20°C for at least 24 hours before the OD technique. The other group was chopped into 1×1 cm pieces, placed in the aluminum trays, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and were kept at -80°C. The frozen pulps (200 g) were freeze-dried and stored at -20°C using a Labconco FreeZone 4.5 freeze-dry system (Labconco, Kansas City, MO, USA) with the condensing temperature of -55°C and vacuum pressure of 7 Par. Half of the freeze-dried pulp samples were packed into polyethylene bags, and the other half was put in glass jars, both of them kept in a refrigerator at 4±1°C until analysis. For the extraction procedure, the pulps were pressed by hand. Five grams of squeezed pulps were added to 50 ml of 50% methanol for each fruit sample and extracted for 24 h at room temperature

with magnetic stirring. The supernatant was filtered through a cotton plug followed by 0.45 µm microbiological filters after centrifugation at 4500 g for 10 min. The extract was then kept at 4°C in a dark bottle for further study.

2.2. Chemicals and Reagents

Table 1 The reagents and chemicals used in this work were of analytical grade

Item	Supplier
Copper (II) chloride dehydrate, Ammonium acetate, Sodium nitrate, Neocuproine, Sodium acetate trihydrate, Iron (III) Chloride, Sodium carbonate, Potassium Persulfate, Aluminum chloride, Gallic acid, Sodium hydroxide, Rutin stander	AppliChem-Germany
2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH)	Biological Industries-Germany
6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox)	
Folin-Ciocalteu reagent	
Ferric-reducing power antioxidant activity (FRAP) reagent	
Methanol	Sigma Aldrich - USA
Hydrochloric	
De-ionized water	Prepared in the lab

2.3. Determination of TPC

The Folin-Ciocalteu method [11] was applied to determine TPC. In brief, the methanolic extracts (100 µl) were mixed with 900 µL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (diluted 1:10 with water). After 5 min, 7% sodium bicarbonate solution (0.75 ml) was added to the mixture and vortexed for 30 seconds. The previously mentioned solution was maintained at room temperature for 90 minutes. The absorbance of the solution was read by a spectrophotometer (Sica type) at 765 nm. Compared to a gallic acid calibration curve, TPC was reported as gallic acid equivalents (GAE). All readings were expressed as the mean of three replications (mg of gallic acid equivalents/100 g of FW) ± standard deviation (SD).

2.4. Determination of TFC

The generation of complex flavonoid-aluminium complexes was used to determine the flavonoid concentration in the pulp methanolic extracts using spectrophotometry [12]. 1 ml of pulp extract was mixed with 1 ml of 2% aluminum chloride solution (w/v). After a 15-minute incubation period at room temperature, the absorbance of the reaction mixtures was quantified at 430 nm against a blank. The standard used to create the calibration curve was rutin. All

measurements were repeated for three times and the results were represented as rutin equivalents (mg RE/100 g dry FW).

2.5. Determination of DPPH Radical-Scavenging Activity

The DPPH capacity of PPF pulp was assessed using an adapted DPPH free radical-scavenging method, and the absorbance was estimated at 515 nm, with concentrations represented as micromolar of Trolox equivalents (a gallic acid calibration curve); TPC was reported as gallic acid equivalents (GAE). All readings were expressed as the mean of three replications (mg of gallic acid equivalents/100 g of FW) ± standard deviation (SD).MTE; dry weight [13].

2.6. Determination of CUPRAC

The CUPRAC of PPF pulp extracts was assessed based on the Apak team method [14]. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm and expressed as micromolar of Trolox equivalents (µMTE; dry weight) [13].

2.7. Determination of FRAP

The FRAP assay was carried out using the method described by [15]. Every 200 ml of the test tube extract received an aliquot of 3.0 mL of FRAP reagent. The mixture was stored at 37°C in the dark. Absorbance was read at 593 nm after 30 min. Micromole Trolox Equivalent per gram fresh weight (µmol TE/g FW) was used to calculate the recoded values.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

The gathered data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS vr. 16). Using analysis of variance, the statistically significant difference between fresh and freeze-dried fruits according to antioxidant compounds and capacity was determined at $p \leq 0.05$ and $p \leq 0.01$.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Chemical Attributes of PPF

The chemical composition parameters are listed in Table 2. Pulp recorded a high amount of water (90.32%). These results were in the same trend as those obtained in previous studies [16]. Ash and total carbohydrates in the pulp amount to 0.06 and 9.42%, respectively. Results obtained by [16] showed a high amount of water in the pulp (84.14%).

Table 2 Chemical composition of PPF (% w/w, FW)

Item	The Pulp
Moisture content %	90.32 ± 3.14*
Dry Matter %	9.68 ± 1.02
Organic Matter %	9.62 ± 0.89

Continuation of Table 2

Ash %	0.06 ± 0.002
Carbohydrates %	9.42 ± 0.06

Notes: All values are means of three replicates ± SE; * Statistically significant difference ($p < 0.01$)

3.2. Efficacy of the Dry Method on the Chemical Attributes of Fruit Pulp

Other than the moisture content, ash, and crude fiber, there are no significant differences in the rest of the measurements shown in Table 3. Across the two methods of drying, the average value of moisture content removed from PPF pulp was between 87.7 ± 1.79 and $89.21 \pm 0.13\%$. This suggests that the freeze-dryer caused more moisture loss than OD. FD or OD at the temperature lower than 50°C and for short times is recommended by [17] and [18]. The FD technique minimizes the effect on the nutritional values of foodstuffs. Also, the use of FD for moisture removal was more effective compared to other drying methods.

Table 3 Efficacy of FD and OD on the chemical composition of PPF pulp (% W/W)

%, Item	%, Chemical Composition		
	Fresh	OD	FD
Moisture content	90.32 ± 1.55	$3.25 \pm 0.15^{**}$	$1.11 \pm 0.01^{***+}$
Dry Matter	9.68 ± 0.42	$96.75 \pm 4.12^{**}$	$98.89 \pm 3.44^{**}$
Protein ($N \times 6.25$)	0.13 ± 0.002	$1.38 \pm 0.11^*$	$1.39 \pm 0.06^*$
Crude Fats	0.07 ± 0.005	$0.72 \pm 0.009^*$	$0.70 \pm 0.01^*$
Crude Fiber	0.12 ± 0.005	$1.26 \pm 0.003^*$	$3.72 \pm 0.26^{***+}$
Ash	0.06 ± 0.001	$0.59 \pm 0.003^*$	$4.36 \pm 0.15^{***+}$
Carbohydrates	9.42 ± 0.76	$89.35 \pm 3.18^{**}$	$92.44 \pm 5.03^{**}$

Notes: All values are means of three replicates ± SE; * indicates statistical significant difference ($*p < 0.05$; $**p < 0.01$) compared to fresh PPF pulp; + indicates statistical significant difference ($+p < 0.05$; $++p < 0.01$) between OD and FD samples.

3.3. Efficacy of the Drying Method on TPC and TFC of PPF Pulp

The data presented in Table 4 clearly indicate a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$) in values of TPC and TFC of fresh and dried PPF pulp belonging to the two drying methods. The freeze-drying method of PPF pulp exhibited 77.56 ± 8.4 mg GAE/100 gm FW for TPC, whereas TFC was estimated to 26.81 ± 11.4 mg GAE/100 gm FW for the same sample. These values are significantly higher than those reported by [19], who found that TPC was 45.2 ± 7.4 mg GAE/100 gm. The impact of the drying method on the TPC of fruits has been investigated. The drying process was reported to induce a drop in TPC by [20, 21]. The study by [22], however, indicated that the drying process increased TPC, and this increase may be because heat treatment converts some phenolic compounds, and

some are released to the medium. In this respect, it can be said that the drying method does not have the same effect on the TPC of different products [23]. A previous study by [24] has shown that the content of phenols in fruit extracts affects the activity of antioxidants. Concerning the effect of different drying methods on the TFC of PPF pulp, the results in Table 4 clearly show that the drying method had a significant effect on the TFC, as the recorded values were 39.88 and 18.05 $\mu\text{g/g CE FW}$; for the OD and FD method, respectively. The data presented in Table 4 indicate that our study may support this hypothesis. In a study by [25], they found that TFC in PPF was 1.91 ± 0.29 mg QE/g DM. TFC may decrease because of some interactions in the structure of fresh vegetables and fruits that are subjected to preservation techniques such as freeze or dry [26]. Generally, TPC and TFC levels in fresh and frozen PPF pulp were higher than those in oven-dried fruits in this research. These findings may support the hypothesis that freezing is the optimal strategy for preserving PPF.

Table 4 Effect of drying methods (FD and OD) on TPC and TFC of PPF pulp

Parameters	Fresh sample (control)	Drying method FD	OD
TPC	103.05 ± 7.11	$77.56 \pm 8.4^{*+}$	$26.81 \pm 11.4^{**}$
TFC	56.32 ± 3.48	$39.88 \pm 5.1^{*+}$	$18.05 \pm 1.7^*$

Notes: All values are means of three replicates ± SE; * indicates statistical significant difference ($*p < 0.05$; $**p < 0.01$) compared to fresh PPF pulp; + indicates statistical significant difference ($+p < 0.05$; $++p < 0.01$) between OD and FD samples.

3.4. Efficacy of the Drying Method on the Antioxidant Capacity of PPF Pulp

3.4.1. The DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity

Table 5 indicates significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in free radical scavenging activity between fresh, freeze-dried, and oven-dried PPF pulp. The DPPH scavenging activity for freeze-dried samples was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher (249.80 ± 20.6) than that of the oven-dried sample (75.21 ± 7.2). The scavenging activity of the PPF extract on DPPH radicals were also demonstrated by [27].

3.4.2. CUPRAC

The CUPRAC analysis of the three various samples tested OFI fruit pulp indicated variation in values between types: fresh, freeze-dried, and oven-dried (Table 5). The mean values of CUPRAC were 1005, 973, and 553 μMTE for fresh, freeze-dried, and oven-dried samples, respectively. There were no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) in values between fresh and freeze-dried samples. Meanwhile, the values showed significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in comparison between the two drying methods (973.52 ± 87.1 and 553.15 ± 16.6 for freeze-drying and oven drying,

respectively). Reducers work by breaking the free radical chain by donating a hydrogen atom, converting free radicals to more stable molecules and so reducing oxidative damage [28, 29].

3.4.3. FRAP Assay

The FRAP assay determines a compound's overall reducing capacity by determining its ability to decrease the Fe³⁺/tri pyridyl triazine complex to its blue-colored ferrous state. Table 5 lists the reducing power of the PPF pulp. Our results showed that the fresh PPF pulp exhibited a higher FRAP value of 510.12 ± 13.5 $\mu\text{mol TE/g FW}$ compared to the two drying methods used in this study. Also, the freeze-dried PPF pulp exhibited higher FRAP values (413.47 ± 17.1 $\mu\text{mol TE/g FW}$) compared to that of the oven-dried samples (200.57 ± 10.1 $\mu\text{mol TE/g FW}$) and there was a significant ($p < 0.05$) difference between the means. There was no significant change in FRAP rates between the fresh and freeze-dried samples ($p > 0.05$).

The obtained results in Table 5 clearly indicate that the two drying methods used in this study had the same effect of both the DPPH assay and FRAP assay. The FRAP method was developed to be better suited for evaluating the antioxidant activity. These results are in agreement confirmed the findings of [30], who developed the FRAP method for better evaluations of the antioxidant activity of water and lipid soluble components.

Table 5 Effect of drying methods (FD and OD) on the antioxidant activity of PPF pulp

Parameters	Fresh sample (control)	Drying method FD	OD
DPPH	318.00 ± 11.7	249.80 ± 20.6	$75.21 \pm 7.2^{*+}$
CUPRAC	1005.80 ± 36.1	973.52 ± 87.1	$553.15 \pm 16.6^{*+}$
FARAP	510.12 ± 13.5	413.47 ± 17.1	$200.57 \pm 10.1^{*+}$

Notes: All values are means of three replicates $\pm$ SE; * indicates statistical significant difference ($*p < 0.05$; $**p < 0.01$) compared to fresh PPF pulp; + indicates statistical significant difference ($^+p < 0.05$; $^{++}p < 0.01$) between OD and FD samples.

4. Conclusion

In this study, we have examined the efficacy of two drying methods (oven drying and freeze-drying) on the chemical composition and antioxidant capacity (DPPH, FARAP & CUPRAC) of PPF pulp. Freeze-drying had the best results for the antioxidant capacity values as closest to the values of the fresh sample. Overall, this study indicated that freeze-drying could improve the overall quality of the dried PPF pulp samples in terms of antioxidant capacity. Comparing the two drying methods, the average value of moisture content removed from PPF pulp was higher with 87.7 ± 1.79 and $89.21 \pm 0.13\%$. The study also recorded values of TPC and TFC of fresh and dried PPF pulp, belonging to the two drying methods. The freeze-drying method

of PPF pulp exhibited about 77.56 ± 8.4 mg GAE/100 gm FW. These findings may support the hypothesis that freezing is the optimal strategy for preserving PPF. Finally, considering the results of this study, we recommend freeze-drying method for drying PPF pulp.

References

- [1] ÇAKMAK M., BAKAR B., IBRAHIM M. S., ÖZER D., KARATAS F., and SAYDAM S. Effect of freezing and drying methods on some biochemical properties of prickly fig (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) fruit. *Yüzuncü Yıl University Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2020, 30(3): 535–543. <https://doi.org/10.29133/yyutbd.689862>
- [2] SUMAYA-MARTÍNEZ M. T., CRUZ-JAIME S., MADRIGAL-SANTILLÁN E., GARCÍA-PAREDES J. D., CARÍÑO-CORTÉS R., CRUZ-CANSINO N., VALADEZ-VEGA C., MARTINEZ-CARDENAS L., and ALANÍS-GARCÍA E. Betalain, acid ascorbic, phenolic contents and antioxidant properties of purple, red, yellow and white cactus pears. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 2011, 12(10): 6452–6468. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms12106452>
- [3] BENSADÓN S., HERVERT-HERNÁNDEZ D., SÁYAGO-AYERDI S. G., and GOÑI I. By-Products of *Opuntia Ficus-Indica* as a Source of Antioxidant Dietary Fiber. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 2010, 65(3): 210–216. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-010-0176-2>
- [4] LIVREA M. A. and TESORIERE L. Health Benefits and Bioactive Components of the Fruits from *Opuntia ficus-indica* [L.] Mill. *Journal of the Professional Association for Cactus Development*, 2006, 8: 73–90. <https://doi.org/10.56890/jpacd.v8i.280>
- [5] INGLESE P., BASILE F., and SCHIRRA M. Cactus Pear Fruit Production. In: NOBEL P. S. (ed.) *Cacti: Biology and uses*. University of California Press, 2002: 291.
- [6] MORALES P., RAMÍREZ-MORENO E., DE CORTES SANCHEZ-MATA M., CARVALHO A. M., and FERREIRA I. C. F. R. Nutritional and antioxidant properties of pulp and seeds of two xocnostle cultivars (*Opuntia joconostle* F.A.C. Weber ex Duguet and *Opuntia matudae* Scheinvar) of high consumption in Mexico. *Food Research International*, 2012, 46(1): 279–285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.12.031>
- [7] BELHADJ SLIMEN I., NAJAR T., and ABDERRABBA M. Bioactive Compounds of Prickly Pear [*Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Mill.]. In: MURTHY H. N. and PAEK K. Y. (eds.) *Bioactive Compounds in Underutilized Vegetables and Legumes. Reference Series in Phytochemistry*. Springer, Cham, 2021: 171–209. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57415-4_12
- [8] REDA T. H. and ATSBHA M. K. Nutritional composition, antinutritional factors, antioxidant activities, functional properties, and sensory evaluation of cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) seeds grown in Tigray Region, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Food Science*, 2019, 2019: 5697052. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5697052>
- [9] MABROUKI L., ZOUGARI B., BENDHIFI M., and BORG M. A. Evaluation of antioxidant capacity, phenol and flavonoid contents of *Opuntia streptacantha* and *Opuntia ficus indica* fruits pulp. *Revue "Nature & Technologie". C-Sciences de l'Environnement*, 2015, 13: 2–8. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/307210623_Evaluation_of_antioxidant_capacity_phenol_and_flavonoid_content

ts of *Opuntia streptacantha* and *Opuntia ficus indica* fruits pulp Evaluation of antioxidant capacity phenol and flavonoid contents of Opunt

[10] PATIL K. V., DAGADKHAIR A. C., BHOITE A. A., and ANDHALE R. R. Physico-Functional Characteristics of *Opuntia Ficus-Indica*. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition*, 2019, 4(6): 124–127. <https://www.foodsciencejournal.com/archives/2019/vol4/issue6/4-6-58>

[11] BENDHIFI ZARROUG M., BARAKET G., ZOURGUI L., SOUID S., and SALHI HANNACHI A. Genetic diversity and phylogenetic relationship among tunisian cactus species (*Opuntia*) as revealed by random amplified microsatellite polymorphism markers. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 2015, 14(1): 1423–1433. <https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.February.13.21>

[12] DJERIDANE A., YOUSFI M., NADJEMI B., BOUTASSOUNA D., STOCKER P., and VIDAL N. Antioxidant activity of some algerian medicinal plants extracts containing phenolic compounds. *Food Chemistry*, 2006, 97(4): 654–660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.04.028>

[13] THAIPONG K., BOONPRAKOB U., CROSBY K., CISNEROS-ZEVALLOS L., and HAWKINS BYRNE D. Comparison of ABTS, DPPH, FRAP, and ORAC assays for estimating antioxidant activity from guava fruit extracts. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 2006, 19(6–7): 669–675. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2006.01.003>

[14] APAK R., GÜÇLÜ K., ÖZYÜREK M., and KARADEMİR S. E. Novel total antioxidant capacity index for dietary polyphenols and vitamins C and E, using their cupric ion reducing capability in the presence of neocuproine: CUPRAC method. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 2004, 52(26): 7970–7981. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf048741x>

[15] BENZIE I. F. F. and STRAIN J. J. Ferric reducing/antioxidant power assay: Direct measure of total antioxidant activity of biological fluids and modified version for simultaneous measurement of total antioxidant power and ascorbic acid concentration. *Methods in Enzymology*, 1999, 299: 15–27. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879\(99\)99005-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(99)99005-5)

[16] SALIM N., ABDELWAHEB C., RABAH C., and AHCENE B. Chemical composition of *Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) fruit. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 2009, 8(8): 1623–1624. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ajb.v8i8.60345>

[17] DE TORRES C., DÍAZ-MAROTO M. C., HERMOSÍN-GUTIÉRREZ I., and PÉREZ-COELLO M. S. Effect of freeze-drying and oven-drying on volatiles and phenolics composition of grape skin. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 2010, 660(1): 177–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2009.10.005>

[18] REYES A., EVSEEV A., MAHN A., BUBNOVICH V., BUSTOS R., and SCHEUERMANN E. Effect of operating conditions in freeze-drying on the nutritional properties of blueberries. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 2011, 62(3): 303–306. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09637486.2010.534078>

[19] MEDINA E. M. D., RODRÍGUEZ E. M. R., and ROMERO C. D. Chemical characterization of *Opuntia dillenii* and *Opuntia ficus indica* fruits. *Food Chemistry*, 2007, 103(1): 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.06.064>

[20] MERAL R. Farklı Sıcaklık Derecelerinin Uşkun Bitkisinin Antioksidan Aktivitesi ve Fenolik Profili Üzerine

Etkisi. *Yüzüncü Yıl Üniversitesi Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi*, 2017, 27(1): 88–94. <https://doi.org/10.29133/yyutbd.285999>

[21] ZANOELO E. F., CARDOZO-FILHO L., and CARDOZO-JUNIOR E. L. Superheated Steam-Drying of Mate Leaves and Effect of Drying Conditions on the Phenol Content. *Journal of Food Process Engineering*, 2006, 29(3): 253–268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4530.2006.00064.x>

[22] CARRANZA-CONCHA J., BENLLOCH M., CAMACHO M. M., and MARTÍNEZ-NAVARRETE N. Effects of drying and pretreatment on the nutritional and functional quality of raisins. *Food and Bioprocess Technology*, 2012, 90(2): 243–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2011.04.002>

[23] MILETIĆ N., MITROVIĆ O., POPOVIĆ B., NEDOVIĆ V., ZLATKOVIĆ B., and KANDIĆ M. Polyphenolic Content and Antioxidant Capacity in Fruits of Plum (*Prunus Domestica* L.) Cultivars “Valjevka” and “Mildora” as Influenced by Air Drying. *Journal of Food Quality*, 2013, 36(4): 229–237. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfq.12035>

[24] IVANOV I. G., VRANCHEVA R. Z., MARCHEV A. S., PETKOVA N. T., ANEVA I. Y., DENEV P. P., GEORGIEV V. G., and PAVLOV A. I. Antioxidant activities and phenolic compounds in Bulgarian *Fumaria* species. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2014, 3(2): 296–306. <https://www.ijcmas.com/vol-3-2/Ivan%20G.%20Ivanov.%20et%20al.pdf>

[25] HAHM S.-W., PARK J., OH S.-Y., LEE C.-W., PARK K.-Y., KIM H., and SON Y.-S. Anticancer Properties of Extracts from *Opuntia Humifusa* against Human Cervical Carcinoma Cells. *Journal of Medicinal Food*, 2014, 18(1): 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jmf.2013.3096>

[26] KAMILOGLU S., TOYDEMİR G., BOYACIOĞLU D., BEEKWILDER J., HALL R. D., and CAPANOGLU E. A Review on the Effect of Drying on Antioxidant Potential of Fruits and Vegetables. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 2016, 56(sup1): S110–S129. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2015.1045969>

[27] FERNÁNDEZ-LÓPEZ J. A., ALMELA L., OBÓN J. M., and CASTELLAR R. Determination of Antioxidant Constituents in Cactus Pear Fruits. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition*, 2010, 65(3): 253–259. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-010-0189-x>

[28] SUBHASHINI N., THANGATHIRUPATHI A., and LAVANYA N. Antioxidant activity of *Trigonella foenum graecum* using various in vitro and ex vivo models. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 2011, 3(2): 96–102. <https://innovareacademics.in/journal/ijpps/Vol3Issue2/1195.pdf>

[29] YILDIRIM A., MAVI A., OKTAY M., AYDAN KARA A., FARUK ALGUR Ö., and BILALOĞLU V. Comparison of Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities of *Tilia* (*Tilia Argentea* Desf Ex DC), *Sage* (*Salvia Triloba* L.), and *Black Tea* (*Camellia Sinensis*) Extracts. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 2000, 48(10): 5030–5034. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf000590k>

[30] DUH P.-D., DU P.-C., and YEN G.-C. Action of Methanolic Extract of Mung Bean Hulls as Inhibitors of Lipid Peroxidation and Non-Lipid Oxidative Damage. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 1999, 37(11): 1055–1061. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-6915\(99\)00096-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-6915(99)00096-4)

参考文献:

- [1] ÇAKMAK M.、BAKAR B.、IBRAHIM M. S.、ÖZER D.、KARATAS F. 和 SAYDAM S. 冷冻和干燥方法对多刺无花果(仙人掌)果实某些生化特性的影响。玉尊粗一大学农学报, 2020, 30(3): 535-543. <https://doi.org/10.29133/yyutbd.689862>
- [2] SUMAYA-MARTÍNEZ M. T.、CRUZ-JAIME S.、MADRIGAL-SANTILLÁN E.、GARCÍA-PAREDES J. D.、CARIÑO-CORTÉS R.、CRUZ-CANSINO N.、VALADEZ-VEGA C.、MARTINEZ-CARDENAS L. 和 ALANÍS-GARCÍA E. 紫色、红色、黄色和白色仙人掌梨的甜菜红素、酸性抗坏血酸、酚类物质和抗氧化特性。国际分子科学杂志, 2011, 12(10): 6452-6468. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms12106452>
- [3] BENSADÓN S.、HERVERT-HERNÁNDEZ D.、SÁYAGO-AYERDI S.G. 和 GOÑI I. 作为抗氧化膳食纤维来源的仙人掌副产品。人类营养植物食品, 2010 年, 65(3): 210-216. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-010-0176-2>
- [4] LIVREA M. A. 和 TESORIERE L. 仙人掌果实的健康益处和生物活性成分[大号.] 磨。仙人掌开发专业协会杂志, 2006, 8: 73-90. <https://doi.org/10.56890/jpacd.v8i.280>
- [5] INGLESE P.、BASILE F. 和 SCHIRRA M. 仙人掌梨果实生产。在: NOBEL P. S. (编辑) 仙人掌: 生物学和用途。加州大学出版社, 2002: 291.
- [6] MORALES P.、RAMÍREZ-MORENO E.、DE CORTES SANCHEZ-MATA M.、CARVALHO A. M.、和 FERREIRA I. C. F. R. 两个 xoconostle 品种 (仙人掌 F.A.C. Weber ex Diguét 和仙人掌) 的果肉和种子的营养和抗氧化特性) 在墨西哥的高消费。国际食品研究, 2012 年, 46(1): 279-285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.12.031>
- [7] BELHADJ SLIMEN I.、NAJAR T. 和 ABDERRABBA M. 仙人掌果[仙人掌(大号.)磨.]的生物活性化合物。在: MURTHY H. N. 和 PAEK K. Y. (编辑) 未充分利用的蔬菜和豆类中的生物活性化合物。植物化学参考系列。施普林格, 查姆, 2021 年: 171-209. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57415-4_12
- [8] REDA T. H. 和 ATSBHA M. K. 埃塞俄比亚提格雷地区种植的仙人掌梨(仙人掌)种子的营养成分、抗营养因子、抗氧化活性、功能特性和感官评价。国际食品科学杂志, 2019, 2019: 5697052. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5697052>
- [9] MABROUKI L.、ZOUGARI B.、BENDHIFI M. 和 BORGI M. A. 仙人掌和仙人掌果实果肉的抗氧化能力、酚和类黄酮含量的评价。评论“自然与技术”。C-环境科学, 2015 年, 13: 2-8. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/307210623_Evaluation_of_antioxidant_capacity_phenol_and_flavonoid_contents_of_Opuntia_streptacantha_and_Opuntia_ficus_indica_fruits_pulp_Evaluation_of_antioxidant_capacity_phenol_and_flavonoid_contents_of_Opunt
- [10] PATIL K. V.、DAGADKHAIR A. C.、BHOITE A. A. 和 ANDHALE R. R. 仙人掌榕的物理功能特征。国际食品科学与营养杂志, 2019 年, 4(6): 124-127. <https://www.foodsciencejournal.com/archives/2019/vol4/issue6/4-6-58>
- [11] BENDHIFI ZARROUG M.、BARAKET G.、ZOURGUI L.、SOUID S. 和 SALHI HANNACHI A. 随机扩增的微卫星多态性标记揭示了突尼斯仙人掌物种 (仙人掌) 的遗传多样性和系统发育关系。遗传学与分子研究, 2015 年, 14(1): 1423-1433. <https://doi.org/10.4238/2015.February.13.21>
- [12] DJERIDANE A.、YOUSFI M.、NADJEMI B.、BOUTASSOUNA D.、STOCKER P. 和 VIDAL N. 一些含有酚类化合物的阿尔及利亚药用植物提取物的抗氧化活性。食品化学, 2006, 97(4): 654-660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.04.028>
- [13] THAIPONG K.、BOONPRAKOB U.、CROSBY K.、CISNEROS-ZEVALLOS L. 和 HAWKINS BYRNE D. 比较 ABTS、DPPH、FRAP 和甲骨文测定以评估番石榴果实提取物的抗氧化活性。食品成分与分析杂志, 2006 年, 19(6-7): 669-675. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2006.01.003>
- [14] APAK R.、GÜÇLÜ K.、ÖZYÜREK M. 和 KARADEMİR S. E. 膳食多酚和维生素 C 和 E 的新型总抗氧化能力指数, 使用它们在新铜灵存在下的铜离子还原能力: CUPRAC 方法。农业与食品化学杂志, 2004, 52(26): 7970-7981. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf048741x>
- [15] BENZIE I. F. F. 和 STRAIN J. J. 铁还原/抗氧化能力测定: 直接测量生物体液的总抗氧化活性和同时测量总抗氧化能力和抗坏血酸浓度的修改版本。酶学方法, 1999, 299: 15-27. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879\(99\)99005-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(99)99005-5)
- [16] SALIM N.、ABDELWAHEB C.、RABAH C. 和 AHCENE B. 仙人掌(大号.)果实的化学成分。非洲生物技术杂志, 2009 年, 8(8): 1623-1624. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ajb.v8i8.60345>

- [17] DE TORRES C.、DÍAZ-MAROTO M. C.、HERMOSÍN-GUTIÉRREZ I. 和 PÉREZ-COELLO M. S. 冷冻干燥和烘箱干燥对葡萄皮挥发物和酚类成分的影响。分析化学学报, 2010, 660(1): 177–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2009.10.005>
- [18] REYES A.、EVSEEV A.、MAHN A.、BUBNOVICH V.、BUSTOS R. 和 SCHEUERMANN E. 冷冻干燥操作条件对蓝莓营养特性的影响。国际食品科学与营养杂志, 2011 年, 62(3) : 303–306 。 <https://doi.org/10.3109/09637486.2010.534078>
- [19] MEDINA E. M. D.、RODRÍGUEZ E. M. R. 和 ROMERO C. D. 仙人掌和仙人掌果实的化学特性。食品化学, 2007, 103(1): 38–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.06.064>
- [20] MERAL R. 不同温度对乌斯昆植物抗氧化活性和酚类成分的影响。百年纪念大学农业科学学报, 2017, 27(1): 88–94. <https://doi.org/10.29133/yyutbd.285999>
- [21] ZANOELO E. F.、CARDOZO-FILHO L. 和 CARDOZO-JUNIOR E. L. 马黛茶叶的过热蒸汽干燥和干燥条件对酚含量的影响。食品加工工程杂志, 2006 年, 29(3) : 253–268 。 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4530.2006.00064.x>
- [22] CARRANZA-CONCHA J.、BENLLOCH M.、CAMACHO M. M. 和 MARTÍNEZ-NAVARRETE N. 干燥和预处理对葡萄干营养和功能品质的影响。食品和生物制品加工, 2012 年, 90(2) : 243–248 。 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2011.04.002>
- [23] MILETIĆ N.、MITROVIĆ O.、POPOVIĆ B.、NEDOVIĆ V.、ZLATKOVIĆ B. 和 KANDIĆ M. 李子(国内李)品种“瓦列夫卡”和“米尔多拉”果实中的多酚含量和抗氧化能力 受空气干燥的影响。食品质量杂志, 2013 年, 36(4) : 229–237。 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfq.12035>
- [24] IVANOV I. G.、VRANCHEVA R. Z.、MARCHEV A. S.、PETKOVA N. T.、ANEVA I. Y.、DENEV P. P.、GEORGIEV V. G. 和 PAVLOV A. I. 保加利亚富玛利亚物种的抗氧化活性和酚类化合物。国际当代微生物学与应用科学杂志, 2014 年, 3(2) : 296–306 。 <https://www.ijcmas.com/vol-3-2/Ivan%20G.%20Ivanov,%20et%20al.pdf>
- [25] HAHM S.-W.、PARK J.、OH S.-Y.、LEE C.-W.、PARK K.-Y.、KIM H. 和 SON Y.-S. 仙人掌提取物对人宫颈癌细胞的抗癌特性。药用食品杂志, 2014 年, 18(1) : 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jmf.2013.3096>
- [26] KAMILOGLU S.、TOYDEMİR G.、BOYACIOĞLU D.、BEEKWILDER J.、HALL R. D. 和 CAPANOGLU E. 干燥对水果和蔬菜抗氧化潜力影响的综述。食品科学与营养评论, 2016 年, 56(晚饭 1) : 小号 110–小号 129。 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2015.1045969>
- [27] FERNÁNDEZ-LÓPEZ J.A.、ALMELA L.、OBÓN J.M. 和 CASTELLAR R. 仙人掌梨果实中抗氧化成分的测定。人类营养植物食品, 2010 年, 65(3) : 253–259。 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-010-0189-x>
- [28] SUBHASHINI N.、THANGATHIRUPATHI A. 和 LAVANYA N. 使用各种体外和离体模型的胡芦巴的抗氧化活性。国际药学与制药科学杂志, 2011 年, 3(2) : 96–102 。 <https://innovareacademics.in/journal/ijpps/Vol3Issue2/1195.pdf>
- [29] YILDIRIM A.、MAVI A.、OKTAY M.、AYDAN KARA A.、FARUK ALGUR Ö. 和 BILALOĞLU V. 椴树(紫椴防爆直流电)、鼠尾草(丹参)的抗氧化和抗菌活性比较。)和红茶(茶花)提取物。农业与食品化学杂志, 2000, 48(10): 5030–5034. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf000590k>
- [30] DUH P.-D.、DU P.-C. 和 YEN G.-C. 绿豆壳甲醇提取物作为脂质过氧化和非脂质氧化损伤抑制剂的作用。食品和化学毒理学, 1999 年, 37(11) : 1055–1061。 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-6915\(99\)00096-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-6915(99)00096-4)